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ABSTRACT

M-DNA is a novel complex formed between DNA and transition metal ions under alkaline conditions. The unique properties of M-DNA were manipulated in order to rationally place metal ions at specific regions within a double-stranded DNA helix. Investigations using thermal denaturation profiles and the ethidium fluorescence assay illustrate that the pH at which M-DNA formation occurs is influenced heavily by the DNA sequence and base composition. For instance, DNA with a sequence consisting of poly[d(TG)•d(CA)] is completely converted to M-DNA at pH 7.9 while DNA consisting entirely of poly[d(AT)] remains in the B-DNA conformation until a pH of 8.6 is reached. The pH at which M-DNA formation occurs is further decreased by the incorporation of 4-thiothymine (s^4T). DNA oligomers with a mixed sequence composed of half d(AT) and the other half d(TG)•d(CA) showed that only 50% of the DNA is able to incorporate Zn^{2+} ions at pH 7.9. This suggests that only regions corresponding to the tracts of d(TG)•d(CA) are being transformed.

Duplex DNA monolayers were self-assembled on gold through a Au-S linkage and both B- and M-DNA conformations were studied using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS) in order to better elucidate the location of the metal ions. The film thickness, density, elemental composition and ratios for samples were analyzed and compared. The DNA surface coverage, calculated from both XPS and electrochemical measurements, was approximately 1.2 x 10^{13} molecules/cm^{2} for B-DNA. All samples showed distinct peaks for C 1s, O 1s, N 1s, P 2p and S 2p as expected for a thiol-linked DNA. On addition of Zn^{2+} to form M-DNA the C 1s, P 2p and S 2p showed only small changes while both the N 1s and O 1s spectra changed considerably. This result is consistent with Zn^{2+} interacting with oxygen on the phosphate backbone as well as replacing the imino protons of thymine (T) and guanine (G) in M-DNA. Analysis of the Zn 2p spectra also demonstrated that the concentration of Zn^{2+} present under M-DNA conditions is consistent with Zn^{2+} binding to both the phosphate backbone as well as replacing the imino protons of T or G in each base pair. After the M-DNA monolayer is washed with a buffer containing only Na^+ the Zn^{2+} bound to the phosphate backbone is removed while the Zn^{2+} bound internally still remains. Variable angle x-ray photoelectron
spectroscopy (VAXPS) was also used to examine monolayers consisting of mixed sequence oligomers. Preliminary results suggest that under M-DNA conditions, the zinc to phosphate ratio changes relative to the position of the d(TG)•d(CA) tract being at the top or bottom of the monolayer.

Electrochemistry was also used to investigate the properties of M-DNA monolayers on gold and examine how the localization of metal ions affects the resistance through the DNA monolayer. The effectiveness of using the IrCl$_6^{2/-3}$ redox couple to investigate DNA monolayers and the potential advantages of this system over the standard Fe(CN)$_6^{3/-4}$ redox couple are demonstrated. B-DNA monolayers were converted to M-DNA by incubation in buffer containing 0.4 mM Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 8.6 and studied by cyclic voltammetry (CV), electrochemical impedance spectroscopy (EIS) and chronoaamperometry (CA) with IrCl$_6^{2/-3}$. Compared to B-DNA, M-DNA showed significant changes in CV, EIS and CA spectra. However, only small changes were observed when the monolayers were incubated in Mg$^{2+}$ at pH 8.6 or in Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 6.0.

The heterogeneous electron-transfer rate ($k_{ET}$) between the redox probe and the surface of a bare gold electrode was determined to be $5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ cm/s. For a B-DNA modified electrode, the $k_{ET}$ through the monolayer was too slow to be measured. However, under M-DNA conditions, a $k_{ET}$ of $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm/s was reached. As well, the percent change in resistance to charge transfer ($R_{CT}$), measured by EIS, was used to illustrate the dependence of M-DNA formation on pH. This result is consistent with Zn$^{2+}$ ions replacing the imino protons on thymine and guanine residues. Also, at low pH values, the percent change in $R_{CT}$ seems to be greater for d(TG)$_{15}$•d(CA)$_{15}$ compared to oligomers with mixed d(AT) and d(TG)•d(CA) tracts. The IrCl$_6^{2/-3}$ redox couple was also effective in differentiating between single-stranded and double-stranded DNA during dehybridization and rehybridization experiments.
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1.0 INTRODUCTION

On February 28, 1953, Francis Crick entered the Eagle pub in Cambridge, England and proclaimed that he and James Watson had found the secret to life (Watson, 1968). Together, they had solved the structure of deoxyribonucleic acid, or DNA, and suggested its fundamental role in the storage and transfer of life’s genetic material. Since their discovery, the vast majority of DNA research has been focused around its biological properties, in particular its role in genetic inheritance, disease and aging. However, regardless of its central importance in biology, the applications of DNA are no longer restricted to just the biological sciences.

The idea of building machines and mechanical devices out of individual atoms was originally proposed in a speech by the Nobel Laureate Richard Feynman in 1959. Inspired by that speech, Eric Drexler not only pursued this area, but has been credited with increasing public interest and spawning the beginning of the nanotechnology revolution. Naturally, due to its ability to self-assemble through complementary base pairs, participate in molecular recognition and be easily manipulated by a vast array of enzymes, DNA has become one of the most promising biomolecules for future applications in nanotechnology. It has already been manipulated in order to design intricate geometric shapes (Chen and Seeman, 1991; Zhang and Seeman, 1994; Shih et al., 2004; Rothemund, 2006), construct various nanoscale mechanical devices (Mao et al., 1999; Yurke et al., 2000; Sherman and Seeman, 2004; Shin and Pierce, 2004; Ye and Mao, 2004) and has recently attracted considerable interest in molecular electronics (Murphy et al., 1993; Aich et al., 1999; Fink and Schonenberger, 1999). The interactions of metal ions with DNA have been shown to profoundly effect not only the conformation of DNA, but properties such as the electrical conductivity. Therefore, the introduction of this thesis will focus on the structural features and properties of DNA,
how these are affected in the presence of various metal ions and the techniques used to study them.

1.1 Structure of DNA

1.1.1 DNA Subunits

The fundamental components of DNA are monomeric units called nucleotides. Each nucleotide consists of a sugar, a nucleobase and a phosphate group (Figure 1.1). The sugar in DNA is the cyclic $\beta$-D-furanose form of ribose and is referred to as $\beta$-D-2$'$-deoxyribose as the hydroxyl group on the 2$'$ carbon of the ribose ring is replaced with hydrogen. Also depicted in figure 1.1 are the four major nucleobases found in DNA which are derived from the two parent compounds purine and pyrimidine. The two major purine bases are adenine (A) and guanine (G) whereas the two major pyrimidine bases are cytosine (C) and thymine (T). The carbon numbers in the furan sugar are designated with a prime symbol in order to distinguish them from the numbered atoms in the nucleobase. Each nucleobase is attached to the sugar through a $\beta$-glycosyl $\text{C}{}^1$’–$\text{N}$ linkage (N1 of pyrimidines and the N9 of purines) whereas the phosphate is attached to the sugar through an ester bond at the 5$'$ carbon. In the absence of the phosphate group, the molecule is referred to as a nucleoside.

A strand of DNA is simply formed from successive nucleotides covalently linked to each other through a phosphodiester bond in which the 5$'$-phosphate group of one nucleotide is attached to the 3$'$-hydroxyl group of the next nucleotide creating a polynucleotide chain that can be described and written in a specific direction. For instance, in figure 1.2, a four base DNA oligonucleotide with the sequence $5'$-CTAG- 3'$ is shown.

When the nucleotides are linked as described in the above section, the resulting polynucleotide chain is highly flexible due to the large number of conformations around the rotatable bonds in the phosphoribose backbone, the sugar and around the N-glycosidic bond (Figure 1.3).
Figure 1.1 The general structure of a nucleotide along with the four major purine and pyrimidine nucleobases found in DNA. Also shown are the numbering conventions for the furan sugar and the parent pyrimidine and purine bases which apply to all four bases as well as their analogues.
Figure 1.2 The deoxytetranucleotide dCTAG in a chain that extends from the 5′-terminus to the 3′ terminus.
Figure 1.3 The rotatable angles in a nucleotide unit are defined by torsion angles along the phosphoribose backbone (α to ξ), within the sugar ring (v₀ to v₄) as well as the rotation of the nucleobase relative to the sugar (χ). Adapted from (Sriram, 1996).
Of particular importance to DNA structure, are the rotations about the bonds in the sugar ring. In order to relieve steric strain between atoms, the deoxyribose sugar becomes slightly nonplanar with only four out of five atoms remaining in the same plane. The different conformations, termed “sugar puckers”, are therefore defined by the direction in which the one atom in the ring deviates from this plane. As illustrated in figure 1.4, a plane is formed by the C1′-O-C4′ atoms. The sugar pucker, then, is defined by the positions of the C2′ or C3′ atoms relative to this plane. When the atoms are displaced from this plane on the same side as the C5′ and the nucleobase, then they are referred to as endo atoms, while those on the opposite side are referred to as exo atoms. The sugar pucker is conformationally important in DNA because it determines the orientation of the phosphate groups relative to the sugar residue.

The other torsion angle that strongly affects the structure of DNA is the $\chi$-angle around the glycosidic bond (Figure 1.5). The base can be orientated in either the anti conformation, where it extends away from the ribose ring, or in the syn conformation, in which case the base lies over top or toward the sugar. The anti conformation is most often observed since there is less steric hindrance in that position. However, the syn conformation may occur when purine bases are present but is rarely observed with pyrimidine bases since the sugar residue sterically interferes with its C2′ substituent.

1.1.2 Structure of Double-Stranded DNA

The interaction of two DNA strands is referred to as hybridization and is mediated through specific base-pairing. First proposed by Watson and Crick, A only pairs with T (AT) and G only pairs with C (GC) (Watson and Crick, 1953). This proposal confirmed earlier observations made by Chargaff that DNA samples always contained equivalent amounts of A to T as well as G to C (Chargaff et al., 1951). The specificity of Watson Crick (WC) base-pairing is achieved through the formation of intermolecular hydrogen bonds between the two DNA strands (Figure 1.6).
Figure 1.4 The pucker of the sugar in DNA is described as the displacement of the C2’ and C3’ atoms relative to the plane formed by the C1’-O-C4’ plane. The endo face lies above the plane, toward the C5’ and the glycosidic bond, while the exo face lies below the plane. Adapted from (van Holde, 2006).
Figure 1.5  The rotations of the nucleotides 2′-deoxyadenosine and 2′-deoxythymidine relative to the sugar moiety. The bases are either extended away from the sugar (anti-conformation) or situated above the ring (syn-conformation).
Figure 1.6 The standard WC base-pairing schematic for AT and GC base pairs with the major and minor grooves indicated.
Hydrogen bonds are noncovalent, dipole interactions caused as a result of the attractive force between hydrogen atoms containing a partial positive charge interacting with the electronegative keto oxygens or nitrogens on the complementary base. There are three hydrogen bonds in GC base-pairs and only two in AT base-pairs. However, the classic WC base-pairing is only one of several hydrogen bonding patterns observed. Ten years after Watson and Crick’s discovery, Karst Hoogsteen showed that adenine and thymine were able to form hydrogen bonds involving the N7 atom of the purine ring compared to the N1 atom normally found in the WC base-pairing (Hoogsteen, 1963). Although this alternative Hoogsteen geometry is the most favorable one for AT base-pairs in solutions, it is not the cases for double-stranded helices. On the other hand, GC base-pairs are only able to form this geometry in acidic pH where protonation of the C is essential for pairing. However, the four bases can be arranged in 28 different ways where there is still at least two hydrogen bonds maintained. Shown in figure 1.7 are some commonly found conformations including reverse WC, Hoogsteen and Wobble base pairs (Donohue, 1956; Donohue and Trueblood, 1960). The bases can also exist in different chemical isomeric forms. For example, the C6 keto position of guanine can undergo a tautomerization to an enol form with an –OH group at the C6 position.

Although the hydrogen bonding between base-pairs provides specificity, it provides little stability to the duplex as there would be just as many hydrogen bonds between denatured DNA and the solvent. Therefore, it is the base stacking interactions which are principally responsible for the stabilization of the duplex (Bugg et al., 1971). The parallel stacking of the bases effectively excludes water from the interior of the duplex. Therefore the hydrogen-bonding groups are largely sequestered from the competing interactions with water and thus hydrogen bonding becomes more favorable. This parallel stacking of the base pairs also maximizes the van der Waals interactions between bases. Most duplex DNA has the bases separated by 0.34 to 0.37 nm, the average sum of van der Waals radii of the base atoms. Therefore the electrostatic dipole, and dipole-induced dipole interactions associated with the van der Waals interactions allow stable base stacking through the charge distributions within their π-electron systems (Devoe and Tinoco, 1962; Hanlon, 1966).
Figure 1.7 Examples of base pairing schemes found in DNA. The top pair represents a reverse WC pair with a 180° rotation of the pyrimidine base resulting in antiparallel orientation of the sugars and a wobble base pair in which the pyrimidine has been shifted slightly resulting in only two hydrogen bonds between the nucleotides. On the bottom is a Hoogsteen base pair in which the pyrimidine uses its WC surface to pair with the C6 amino group and the N7 of the purine base. A 180° rotation of the pyrimidine results in the formation of a reversed Hoogsteen base pair.
Although base pair hydrogen-bonding depends on composition, base stacking energies depend on composition and the sequence of the DNA (Ornstein et al., 1978). For example, in unpaired bases, stacking interactions between purine and pyrimidine bases have the following trend (Solie and Schellma, 1968).

\[
\text{Pur}_\text{Pur} > \text{Pur}_\text{Pyr} > \text{Pyr}_\text{Pyr}
\]

This results from a greater degree of overlap between two purine bases compared to two pyrimidine bases. For base-paired dinucleotides, although more complex, in general the stacking is more stable for sequences rich in GC base pairs and weaker for those composed of AT base pairs (Ornstein et al., 1978). However, the stacking energy is also influenced by the general sequence. For instance, a 5′dCG-3′ dimer is more stable than a 5′-dGC-3′ dimer (Saenger, 1984).

The structure of dsDNA is defined by the relative conformations of the bases in the base pair and the orientations of the neighboring base-pairs relative to one another (Figure 1.8). Although all of this flexibility allows DNA to adopt a variety of conformations, the predominant structure found under physiological conditions is the DNA double helix referred to as the B-form. The conformation of B-DNA contains two antiparallel polynucleotide chains connected by complementary WC base-pairing. The overall structure of B-DNA has two distinct helical grooves, the minor and the major. The minor groove is narrow, while the major groove is wide, with both grooves possessing a moderate, nearly equivalent depth. In this fashion, B-DNA adopts a right-handed helical structure containing a hydrophobic interior of base pairs stacked perpendicular to the axis at 0.34 nm intervals (Wing et al., 1980; Dickerson et al., 1982). Each base-pair plane of B-DNA is rotated with a twist of approximately 34.3° relative to the one preceding it. This results in a complete right-handed helical turn for every 10.5 contiguous base pairs and therefore a helical pitch of approximately 3.4 nm (Figure 1.9). In B-DNA, the deoxyribose ring adopts a C2′-endo conformation, while the N-glycosidic bond angle is in an anti-configuration.
Figure 1.8 Base-pair and base-step parameters for duplex DNA. Taken from (Dickerson, 1989)
Figure 1.9 The major structural features of a WC base paired DNA duplex in the B-conformation.
1.1.3 Physical Properties of Double-Stranded DNA

The aromatic nature of the bases allows DNA to absorb ultraviolet light (UV) at a wavelength of approximately 260 nm (A$_{260}$). This allows the concentration of dsDNA to be measured, as an absorbance of 1 A$_{260}$ equals approximately 50 µg/ml. This also provides a convenient way to monitor the formation and breakdown of double helices as dsDNA has 40% less absorbance compared to ssDNA. The decrease in absorbance is a result of the strong overlap between the π-orbitals caused from base stacking interactions which leads to less π-π* transitions and therefore a decrease in molecular absorbivity (Voet et al., 1963).

The denaturation of DNA is a cooperative process where the strands are either fully formed or completely dissociated (Porschke, 1971). Therefore the observed change in absorbance occurs over a very narrow temperature range at which the midpoint of this transition is referred to as the melting temperature ($T_m$) (Doty et al., 1959). The nature of this melting transition is affected by several factors including nucleotide composition, chain length and sequence affects. As mentioned previously, stacking interactions are more stable for GC rich regions compared to AT rich regions (Ornstein et al., 1978). Indeed, there is a linear dependence of melting temperature on the number of guanine and cytosine content in the DNA (Marmur and Doty, 1962). The melting temperatures of double-helical nucleic acids increase not only with their GC/AT ratio but also with the length of the polynucleotide. With increasing chain length, $T_m$ increases and the slope at the melting point becomes steeper which is synonymous with enhanced cooperativity (Porschke, 1971; Filimonov and Privalov, 1978). Understandably, the strength of base-stacking mentioned in section 1.1.2 also correlates very well with the melting of DNA duplexes (Gotoh and Tagashira, 1981).

The renaturation of DNA can be explained by the cooperative zipper mechanism in which the helix requires three base-pairs to create a stable starting point from which further addition of stacked base-pairs occurs spontaneously leading to a stepwise construction of a helix similar to a zipper being closed. (Porschke, 1977). This nucleation event is also sequence dependent. Therefore, since CG base pairs are more
stable than AT base pairs, we expect the nucleation event to be more probable at stacked cytosine or guanine nucleotides.

1.1.4 Electronic Properties of DNA

Soon after the discovery of DNA by Watson and Crick, it was proposed that DNA could have conducting properties though the $\pi$-electron system of the staked bases (Eley and Spivey, 1962). In 1993, Jacqueline Barton’s group reported photoinduced electron transfer between metallointercalators attached to opposite ends of a 15-base pair DNA duplex (Murphy et al., 1993). Following investigations suggested an electron transfer rate of approximately $1 \times 10^{10}$ s$^{-1}$ over distances up to 40 angstroms (Arkin et al., 1996; Hall et al., 1996). This idea was further substantiated by showing that the repair of a thymine dimer was accomplished through the electron transfer from an intercalator through a 16-base pair DNA duplex (Dandliker et al., 1997). A reevaluation of these results has been given (Fahlman et al., 2002) and although there has been much debate with regards to this subject, the dominant mechanisms appear to be both short-range quantum mechanical tunneling (Dekker and Ratner, 2001) and long-range thermally activated hopping (Kandaswamy and Henderson, 1962; Lewis et al., 2000; Giese et al., 2001). According to many models, the electron transfer can occur through a multistep hopping reaction in which a positive charge migrates between guanine bases having the lowest ionization potential. In this mechanism, there is a shallow distance dependency on the rate of electron transfer. In the tunneling mechanism, the charge tunnels through high energy bases without formally occupying them, in which cases the rate decreases exponentially with distance between the charge donor and acceptor (Giese, 2002).

Although there is agreement that electron transfer indeed happens through DNA, there is still debate on whether DNA is intrinsically conducting. DNA electrical properties remain questionable due to the uncertainty in experimental procedures. For example, the contacts between the electrode and the DNA molecules will most likely affect the total conductivity of the DNA-electrode system (Otsuka et al., 2002). Also, there are many differences in the DNA molecules and their environment which can
influence DNA conductivity. For instance, variations in the base composition and sequence may have consequences as it has been shown that positive charge is more stable on a GC base pair compared to an AT base pair (Endres et al., 2004). The length and the character of the DNA molecules (bundles or single molecules) also will affect the conductivity. Temperature and humidity may change the conformation of DNA as the number of water molecules is critical in determining the overall structure of the DNA. For example, drying of the DNA with nitrogen tends to leave only two to three water molecules per nucleotide. This results in a transition from B- to A-DNA (see later section) (Warman et al., 1996). As well, the presence of tightly bound water or counterions attached to the DNA even in vacuum environment can not be completely ruled out and may lead to the enhanced conductivity (Fink and Schonenberger, 1999). In conclusion, it seems that electron transfer is possible for short scale length under certain conditions. However, it is still unclear whether DNA itself is the conductor or if it needs help from the solvent to become conductive.

1.2 DNA-Metal Ion Interactions

Many factors affect DNA-metal interactions including the nature of the ligand and the metal ion, the pH of the surrounding environment as well as the accessibility of certain binding sites. Such interactions are very important as it has previously been shown that metal ions can dramatically affect both the stability and conformation of DNA (Kazakov, 1996). In many cases, completely new structures are formed which possess very unique and useful properties.

1.2.1 Interaction Sites on DNA

At physiological pH, the negative charge on the phosphate oxygens result in an ideal location for nonspecific electrostatic interaction with positively charged metal ions. Although all metal ions can typically bind here, Pearson generalized that metal ions which are more difficult to polarize such as the alkali and alkaline earth metals
Na⁺, K⁺, Mg²⁺, Mn²⁺ and Ca²⁺ have a greater preference for these oxygen ligands (Pearson, 1963).

For many other metal ions, initial nonspecific binding can be followed by more specific interactions with the nitrogen and exocyclic keto substituents on the nucleobases. Generally, metal ions that have a larger radius and are easier to polarize, such as the transition metals Cu⁺, Ag⁺, Au⁺, Cd²⁺, Pt⁴⁺ and Hg⁺, tend to favour more covalent interactions with the nucleobase substituents (Pearson, 1963). However, there are also some transition metals such as Cu²⁺, Zn²⁺, Ni²⁺ and Co²⁺ that can bind equally well to both ligands (Cowan, 1997).

The association of metal ions with the nitrogens in the nucleobases is much more complex as there are many factors that can influence the availability of the metal ion binding sites. For example, the formation of the glycosyl link in DNA renders the N9 of purines and the N1 of pyrimidines unavailable. As well, the N3 position on the purine bases becomes less attractive due to the steric hindrance created from the sugar moiety when orientated in the syn conformation. Changes in the glycosidic torsion angle could, however, make this site more attractive for metal ions (Martin, 1979).

As well, since the ring nitrogens are potential protonation sites, the pH of the surrounding environment is quite relevant to the availability of binding sites for metal ions. Therefore a preference for metal ions to interact with these sites correlates closely to the pKₐ values shown in parenthesis. At neutral pH, the principle metal-binding sites are on the nucleosides of guanosine (N7, 2.0), adenosine (N7 and N1, 3.8) and cytidine (N3, 4.2). However, at elevated pH, the nitrogen atoms in thymidine (N3, 9.9) and guanosine (N1, 9.3) become the preferential binding sites (Martin, 1979).

The base keto substituents are also able to complex with metal ions directly through the keto group or indirectly through the interaction of hydrogen bonds from other ligands (Saenger, 1984). The amino groups on the bases are not directly involved in metal ion coordination because the lone electron pair is delocalized over the π-bonding ring system giving the attached nitrogen a partial positive charge and thereby effectively repelling any positively charged metal ions from this location (Martin, 1979).
1.2.2 Effect of Metal Ions on DNA Stability

Although most metal ions can stabilize double helixes, this property is not universal. Generally, an interaction of metal ions with the phosphate backbone leads to stabilization of the DNA double helix whereas metal ions binding to the bases causes destabilization and eventual denaturation (Eichhorn and Shin, 1968; Yamada et al., 1976). Therefore, metal ions can be placed in the following sequence which indicates the magnitude of their influence on DNA stability (Eichhorn and Shin, 1968).

$$\text{Mg}^{2+} > \text{Co}^{2+} \sim \text{Ni}^{2+} > \text{Mn}^{2+} > \text{Zn}^{2+} > \text{Cd}^{2+} > \text{Cu}^{2+} > \text{Hg}^{2+}$$

The affinity for the base compared to the phosphate backbone increases from left to right. Therefore, correspondingly, the first three metal ions in this list usually provide only stabilizing effects, whereas the others provide an initial stability as they bind the backbone followed by destabilization of the double helix at higher concentrations where they bind to the nucleobase (Eichhorn, 1973).

1.2.3 Alternative DNA Conformations Containing Metal Ions

Although the standard structure of DNA is in the B-form, there is an alphabet of alternative structures and conformations that are all heavily influenced by metal ions (Saenger, 1984; Wells et al., 1988; Ghosh and Bansal, 2003). Since A-DNA and Z-DNA conformations are currently the best characterized, these will be discussed in further detail. Along with the linear duplexes described above, DNA can also adopt various other unusual structures such as triplex and quadruplex DNA structures consisting of three and fours strands of DNA respectively. Finally, there will be a review of DNA containing novel nucleotide analogues which have been engineered specifically to incorporate various metal ions.
1.2.3.1 A-DNA

B-DNA transforms into an A-form helix under low humidity and high salt concentrations (Franklin and Gosling, 1953). Although A-DNA is still a right handed helix, there are 11 base-pairs per helix turn with a rise of 0.25 nm per base pair, a helical pitch of 2.8 nm and a diameter of 2.3 nm resulting in a shorter, broader version of B-DNA as illustrated in figure 1.10 (Dickerson et al., 1982; Frederick et al., 1989).

The most pronounced feature of this structure is the 20° tilting of the base-pairs and their net displacement away from the central axis (Sinden, 1994). This results in a deep and narrow major groove and a very shallow and wide minor groove. Looking down the central axis of A-DNA there is hollow core distinctly different from that of B-DNA. The A-form also adopts a C3'\text{-endo} sugar pucker conformation as opposed to the C2'-endo conformation present in B-DNA. The A-form is also commonly adopted by RNA-DNA hybrids (Milman et al., 1967) as well as dsRNA (Arnott et al., 1973) as the C2'-OH substituent forces the sugar to assume the C3'-endo conformation.

1.2.3.2 Z-DNA

A drastic conformational change was detected by circular dichroism with poly[d(GC)] in the presence of 700 mM Mg$^{2+}$ or 2.5 M Na$^+$ (Pohl and Jovin, 1972). Following this discovery, a crystal structure was solved and showed major structural differences in the sugar pucker, rotations about the glycosidic bond, and orientation of base pairs within the helix compared to the native B-DNA form (Wang et al., 1979). The newly-discovered structure, called Z-DNA, was discovered with its unique ability to adopt a left handed helical structure. This conformation contains a wide and shallow major groove with a narrow and extremely deep minor groove. Z-form DNA also adopts sugar puckering and N-glycosidic torsion angles that alternate between C2'-endo and C3'-endo and between anti and syn, respectively making the actual repeating unit for the Z-form helix two base pairs in contrast to the single-base-pair repeat unit of the A- and B- form DNAs.
Figure 1.10  A Comparison of the overall structures of A, B and Z forms of DNA. There are 24 base pairs in each of the structures shown. The bases are shown in gray, the phosphate atoms in yellow, and the riboses and phosphate oxygens in blue. Taken from (Lehninger, 1993)
The alternating pattern, in combination with the 180° rotation of the bases about the glycosidic bond, results in a left handed helix with a phosphate backbone that appears to zigzag around the helical structure. There are 12 base pairs per helical turn, a helical rise of 0.37 nm per base and a helical pitch of 4.5 nm resulting in a longer narrower version of B-DNA (Figure 1.10). Although there is an increase in the rise per residue, the alternating sugar puckers orientate the phosphate residues of subsequent bases so that they are actually closer to each other than in B-DNA. Therefore, the proximity of the negatively-charged phosphate residues explains the dependence on the high ionic strength needed to stabilize this conformation (Sinden, 1994).

Although, the Z-DNA conformation can be induced by high concentrations of both Mg\(^{2+}\) and Na\(^{+}\) as previously mentioned, less than 5 mM of transitions metals such as Co\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\) or Mn\(^{2+}\) is required to obtain the same response (van de Sande et al., 1982). Whereas alkaline and alkali-earth metals are able to stabilize the conformation by binding to the negatively charged phosphate groups, transition metal ions bind to the bases. For instance, previous investigations have shown that both Ni\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{2+}\) are able to bind to the N7 of G stabilizing the syn conformation which is required for Z-DNA (Taboury et al., 1984).

This conformation can also be induced or further stabilized by chemical modification of the bases. Bromination of the G residues on C8 or the C residues on the C5 both lock the molecule in the Z conformation as the new bulky substituent holds the G residue in the syn conformation preventing its rotation back to the anti conformation found in B-DNA (Moller et al., 1984). The methylation of C8 of G residues also favours the Z conformation (Xu et al., 2003). The methylation of cytosine at the C5 position also stabilizes Z-DNA as the hydrophobic methyl group in this position is less exposed to the solvent in the Z-DNA form than in the alternative B-form (Behe and Felsenfeld, 1981; Rich et al., 1984).

The discovery that Z-DNA forms under conditions of negative superhelical stress (Klysik et al., 1981; Peck et al., 1982; Singleton et al., 1982) raised excitement as it suggested the existence of Z-DNA in a biological context as negative supercoils form behind actively transcribing RNA polymerase (Liu and Wang, 1987). A number of experiments have been performed that demonstrate the existence of Z-DNA in vivo.
For example, the formation of Z-DNA in *E. coli* was assessed by creating fragments of DNA containing the EcoRI site. In the presence of EcoRI methylase, this fragment becomes methylated when the DNA is in the B-conformation. However, when the DNA is in the Z-conformation, this fragment becomes resistant to methylation (Jaworski *et al.*, 1987). Evidence for the existence of Z-DNA in eukaryotic systems is more indirect. Antibodies against Z-DNA have been shown to bind to eukaryotic chromosomes and proteins have been isolated from eukaryotic systems that are able to bind Z-DNA (Herbert and Rich, 1996; Rich and Zhang, 2003). Various possible biological roles have been suggested. For instance, Z-DNA may function to regulate gene expression as it could act as an alternative binding location for transcription factors. Also, the conformation may be important in recombination as alternating purine•pyrimidine tracts, which are very conducive to forming Z-DNA, have been found in recombination sites.

1.2.3.3 Triplex

In 1957, it was discovered that a WC duplex consisting of homopurine•homepyrimidine tracts had the ability to incorporate an additional third strand into the major groove (Felsenfeld *et al.*, 1957). Shortly after, a crystal structure was solved by Karst Hoogsteen which revealed that triplex formation was possible through the interaction with the N7 and C6 amino group of a purine base (Hoogsteen, 1963). This type of base interaction, referred to as Hoogsteen base-pairing, is shown in figure 1.7. In order for triplex formation to occur, the central strand must be a purine to provide the necessary sites for a WC base-pair and an additional Hoogsteen base-pair as illustrated in figure 1.11. The additional third strand, which is written in italics, may consist of either pyrimidines (PyPu•Py) or purines (PyPu•Pu) (Frank-Kamenetskii and Mirkin, 1995). If the third strand consists of a pyrimidine tract, it will form Hoogsteen bonds which result in a parallel orientation with the WC purine strand. As demonstrated in figure 1.11, if the third tract is purine rich, it forms reverse Hoogsteen hydrogen bonds resulting in an antiparallel orientation with the purine strand of the WC helix.
Figure 1.11 Hydrogen bonding pattern of the various triplex formations. Shown on the top are WC base-pairs containing a third pyrimidine strand and on the bottom, WC base-pairs contain a third purine residue.
In either case, the third strand always runs anti-parallel to the WC strand with the same type of base. As previously discussed with the above DNA conformations, triplex formation is also dependent on metal ions, pH, sequence effects and the incorporation of novel nucleotides.

Compared to dsDNA, triplexes have a relatively higher charge density and therefore require metal ions to stabilize the triplex by neutralizing the negatively charged phosphate groups. However, it is evident that the relationship is much more complex as different metal ions have drastically different effects on triplex formation, conformation and stability. For example, alkali metal ions are sufficient for the stabilization of PyPu•Py triplexes, however PyPu•Pu triplexes require divalent metal ions such as Mg$^{2+}$ or Ca$^{2+}$ for complete stabilization (Rougee et al., 1992; Singleton and Dervan, 1993). Also, other metal ions like K$^+$ and Rb$^+$ interfere with PyPu•Pu triplex formation all together (Cheng and Van Dyke, 1993). In contrast, the transition metal ions Zn$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$, Co$^{2+}$, Mn$^{2+}$ and Cd$^{2+}$ enhance the stability of the structures containing both the CG•G and TA•T triplets (Malkov et al., 1993).

Triple-stranded DNA formation is also affected by pH as well as the incorporation of novel nucleotides. For instance, both CG•C$^+$ and CG•A$^+$ triplexes are stabilized at low pH since the protonation of the C or A residue enables the bases to participate in the Hoogsteen base-pairing (Lee et al., 1979). On the other hand, substitution of 7-deazaadenine ($z^7$A) or 6-methyladenine (m$^6$A) with A in the central strand renders triplex formation impossible as it eliminates the essential hydrogen bonds needed for Hoogsteen interactions (Lee et al., 1984).

Initial investigations showed triplexes containing three separate polynucleotide strands. However, it was later suggested (Lee et al., 1984) and demonstrated that it was possible to form intramolecular triplexes from a double-stranded helix (Mirkin et al., 1987; Kohwi and Kohwi-Shigematsu, 1988). This type of triplex structure was referred to as H-DNA as initial results showed the formation occurred at low pH. Alternatively the name originated because the structure involved Hoogsteen base-pairs. Regardless, in order for a dsDNA sequence to form H-DNA, it must contain mirror repeats of Py-Pu (Lyamichev et al., 1985; Lyamichev et al., 1986).
As is apparent from figure 1.12, due to the presence of the mirror repeat, there are four possible ways for a given intramolecular triplex to form.

Although any of the four intramolecular triplex structures are possible within a given mirror repeat, the conditions under which each one forms varies. Formation of Hy3 GC•C\(^+\) triplex by d(G)\(_{30}\)•d(C)\(_{30}\) at acidic pH can be converted to Hu3 GC•G by addition of Mg\(^{2+}\) or by increasing the pH (Kohwi and Kohwi-Shigematsu, 1988). Thus, as with intermolecular triplexes, formation of H-DNA can be modulated by adjusting the pH, the addition of different metal ions and changing the DNA sequence.

As discussed above, PyPu•Py triplexes are formed under acidic pH, while PyPu•Pu triplexes require divalent cations. However, under neutral pH, there is unlikely such a high level of metal ions in solution. One alternative method of stabilization for both inter- and intramolecular triplexes was from polyamines spermine and spermidine. This stabilizing effect was most likely a result of the decreased repulsion between the phosphate backbones after binding to polyamine (Hampel et al., 1991).

The widespread occurrence of polypurine•polypyrimidine tracts in the eukaryotic genome (Birnboim, 1978) combined with ability of intramolecular triplex formation to occur within a single duplex DNA region (Mirkin et al., 1987), have sparked great interest towards what potential role triplexes might have on biological functions. Initial experiments showed that GATC \textit{dam} methylation sites adjacent to or between intramolecular-triplex forming regions were under-methylated \textit{in vivo} (Parniewski et al., 1990). Also, triplex-specific monoclonal antibodies, Jel 318 and Jel 466, have been shown to bind the cell nuclei and chromosomes. The binding could be inhibited by adding competing triplex DNA, but not by the addition of \textit{E. coli} DNA to which the antibodies will not bind (Lee \textit{et al.}, 1987; Agazie \textit{et al.}, 1994; Agazie \textit{et al.}, 1996).

Intramolecular triplex DNA could influence gene expression by affecting the level of DNA supercoiling (Sinden, 1994). The duplex to triplex transition could also act as a molecular switch by regulating which proteins are able to bind (Lee \textit{et al.}, 1984). As well, studies have indicated that triplexes may act as a termination site for DNA replication both \textit{in vitro} and \textit{in vivo} (Baran \textit{et al.}, 1991; Rao, 1994).
Figure 1.12  The various folding patterns adopted for intramolecular triplex formation. There are four possible isomers labeled Hu3, Hu5, Hy5 and Hy3. In all instances, the H refers to H-DNA, which is the term coined by (Mirkin et al., 1987) to represent intramolecular triplex structures. The y and u represents a pyrimidine or purine rich region respectively acting as the third strand. The number 5 and 3 refers to which end (5’ or 3’) of the purine or pyrimidine-rich strand is acting as the third strand. Adapted from (Sinden, 1994).
1.2.3.4 Tetraplex

Oligonucleotides containing guanine rich sequences are able to adopt novel conformations in the presence of monovalent cations. The fundamental structural unit consists of four guanines arranged in a planar configuration (Figure 1.13a). Each guanine interacts with each of the two adjacent guanines through Hoogsteen-like hydrogen bonds where the N1-H and N2-H of one guanine pair with the N7 and O6 of the neighboring guanine (Gellert et al., 1962; Fresco and Massoulie, 1963; Zimmerman, 1976). It has previously been shown that the alkali-metal cations were able to promote and stabilize such conformations (Pinnavaia et al., 1978). As can been seen from figure 1.13a, the G-quartet has four oxygen atoms clustered in its center. Therefore, it is logical that cations will not only stabilize this structure, but without a bound cation in this cavity, the cyclic arrangement would be electronically unfavorable and would collapse (Spackova et al., 1999; Sessler et al., 2000). In particular, Na+, K+ and Rb+ all were able to promote this conformational change while Li+ and Cs+ showed almost no ability to do so. It was also found that Ca2+ and Ba2+ are even more effective at stabilizing polypurine tetraplexes (Lee, 1990). Therefore, it can be seen, that the cavity made by the G-quartet is size discriminatory. If an ion is too large it is excluded sterically and if it is too small it is unable to bridge the distance between the ligand residues in the cavity. Although G-quadruplexes are made from the same basic structural subunit, they can differ in their orientation. As shown in figure 1.13b, tetraplex formation may occur either as a parallel intermolecular association of four separate strands (Sen and Gilbert, 1988; Sen and Gilbert, 1990) or as an association of two hairpin-forming strands (Sundquist and Klug, 1989) or as a single strand that is folded back on itself to comprise three loops (Williamson et al., 1989). In the proposed models, the strands are either parallel to each other in which all of the glycosidic torsion angles adopt the same anti orientation within the tetrad or antiparallel to each other in which case the glycosidic bonds alternate between syn and anti orientations along each strand of the quartet (Zimmerman et al., 1975; Sundquist and Klug, 1989).
Figure 1.13  A) Guanine tetraplex shown with a coordinated potassium ion.  B) Tetraplex formation can occur through a number of different oligoguanine associations. Four separate strands can assemble as a parallel-stranded tetraplex. Oligonucleotides containing two oligoguanine repeats can form hairpin structures (only one example of this isomer is shown). A single strand containing a number of oligoguanine repeats could also adopt a foldback structure (only one possible isomer shown).
The presence of G-rich sequences found in eukaryotic centromeres and telomeres suggest a fundamental role of quadruplex formation in these regions. Formation of quadruplexes has been shown to inhibit telomerase (Zahler et al., 1991) and have been suspected in playing a role in tethering of chromosomes for meiosis (Sen and Gilbert, 1988).

1.2.3.5 Synthetic Metallized DNA

It was realized that metal interaction with DNA not only provided stabilization and conformational changes, but also improved the conductivity of native DNA. Therefore, there has been a growing interest to broaden the structural variety of DNA and to change its natural structure to incorporate metal ions. This has led to some promising new approaches including structural changes to the bases as well as the incorporations of completely new base pairs (Braun et al., 1998; Aich et al., 1999; Tanaka and Shionoya, 1999; Meggers et al., 2000; Atwell et al., 2001; Weizman and Tor, 2001; Zimmermann et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2003).

In order to incorporate metal complexes into oligonucleotides the hydrogen-bonding usually seen in WC base pairing is replaced by metal-assisted base pairing through the use of artificial nucleosides such as o-phenylenediamine, catechol or 2-aminophenol shown in figure 1.14a (Kawasaki et al., 2000; Tanaka et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 2003). Ligandosides, such as 2,2′-Bipyridine in figure 1.14b, also have a higher affinity for metal ions compared to the regular heterocyclic bases and are able to form complexes with comparable dimensions to a DNA base pair (Weizman and Tor, 2001). Alternative approaches shown in figures 1.14c and 1.14d respectively include the design of a base pair between pyridine-2,6-dicarboxylate nucleobase (Dipic) and a pyridine nucleobase (Meggers et al., 2000) as well as artificial guanine which can still bind effectively to cytidine (Mancin and Chin, 2002). However, such strategies represent a significant synthetic challenge. As well, drastically changing the structure to incorporate metals may improve the conductivity, but it may unfortunately destroy the desirable molecular recognition properties of DNA.
Figure 1.14 Alternative DNA bases to allow the incorporation of metal ions. Adapted from (Tanaka and Shionoya, 1999; Tanaka et al., 2001; Tanaka et al., 2003; Tanaka et al., 2002; Weizman and Tor, 2001; Meggers et al., 2000; Mancin and Chin, 2002.)
A promising alternative is a new metal-DNA conformation discovered at the University of Saskatchewan by Dr. Jeremy Lee called M-DNA.

1.3 M-DNA

1.3.1 Structure

M-DNA is considered a modified B-type helix of duplex DNA in which metal ions such as Zn$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ and Co$^{2+}$ replace the imino protons of G and T at every base pair (Lee et al., 1993; Aich et al., 1999). The coordination of the metal ion is distorted square planar with the solvent providing the fourth ligand. Although unusual, a propeller twist of the bases could give rise to this geometry. From electrophoretic mobility assays, it can be estimated that this new helix is shorter and more compact than B-DNA containing approximately 5% fewer base pairs per turn. Although not all of the features of the usual Watson-Crick base pairs are retained in the structure of M-DNA, A still pairs with T and G with C (Lee et al., 1993) (Figure 1.15).

In the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 9.0, Calf Thymus (CT) DNA shows an increase in melting temperature of approximately 12 degrees compared to DNA in the absence of metal ions. Since previous research shows a destabilizing effect caused by zinc (Eichhorn and Shin, 1968), this unexpected stabilization may suggest that a possible metal ion complex or structural rearrangement is occurring.

There are several results that indicate M-DNA formation involves the replacement of the imino protons of both G and T with divalent metal ions. The H$^1$NMR imino proton signal for d(TG)$_{15}$•d(CA)$_{15}$ disappears in the presence of 2.4 mM Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 9.0 which is equivalent to one metal ion per base pair (Lee et al., 1993). As well, titration experiments also revealed that one proton is being released per metal ion per base pair upon Ni$^{2+}$ M-DNA formation (Aich et al., 1999). Not only is the high pH reflective of the high pKa for the imino proton on G and T, but results also indicate that by reducing the base pKa, M-DNA formation is able to occur at a lower pH as well (Wood et al., 2002).
Figure 1.15 Proposed structures for the base pairs of M-DNA where M represents the Co$^{2+}$, Ni$^{2+}$ or Zn$^{2+}$ and R represents the atom C1’ of the 2’-deoxyribose sugar. Adapted from (Aich et al., 1999)
Bacterial DNA ranging in various GC content shows M-DNA formation at equivalent rates demonstrating formation is independent of DNA composition. However, a large degree of sequence dependence is observed in synthetic polymers with a repeating sequence. The effect of different repeating sequences on the rate of Zn\(^{2+}\) M-DNA formation was measured and it was determined that d(TG)\(_n\)•d(CA)\(_n\) was fully formed the quickest whereas d(AT)\(_n\) remained mostly in the B-DNA conformation even after one hour (Lee et al., 1993).

A second critical experiment was performed using the intercalator, ethidium bromide (EtBr). EtBr binds strongly to both B- and A-type duplexes with a large increase in fluorescence which will be discussed in further detail in section 1.4.2. However, on addition of Zn\(^{2+}\) at high pH the fluorescence of EtBr was quenched suggesting that a novel conformation was being formed. Since EtBr does not bind to M-DNA, a rapid EtBr fluorescence assay was developed which allowed many of the basic properties of M-DNA to be elucidated. Ethidium presumably does not intercalate because the metal ion repels the positively charged molecule similar to triplexes containing CGC\(^+\) base triads that will also not accommodate ethidium (Morgan et al., 1979; Lee et al., 1984; Scaria and Shafer, 1991). However, Hoogsteen or other types of hydrogen bonding can be eliminated as the cause because replacing the adenosine with m\(^6\)A and z\(^7\)A did not inhibit the dismutation.

Various metal ions were assessed for their ability to facilitate M-DNA formation. Generally, only the metal ions that have ionic radii of about 0.70 Å or less favoured M-DNA formation. These include Zn\(^{2+}\), Ni\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{2+}\) while Mg\(^{2+}\), Mn\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), Ca\(^{2+}\) and Ag\(^+\) were all ineffective. Mn\(^{2+}\), Cu\(^{2+}\), and Ca\(^{2+}\) are all ineffective most likely as a result from their increased ionic radii of 0.80, 0.92 and 0.99 Å respectively. Although Mg\(^{2+}\) has an ionic radius of 0.65, previous results show that it is unable to form stable complexes with nitrogen bases and therefore does not form M-DNA. The ionic radius of Ag\(^+\) is 1.13 Å and is most likely too large and therefore causes denaturation of the helix rather than M-DNA formation.

Zn\(^{2+}\) is one of the few metal ions which can coordinate well to both oxygen and nitrogen. Therefore, together with its small ionic radius, it is ideally suited for specific interaction with the bases of nucleic acids.
1.3.2 Properties of M-DNA

The proposed structure of M-DNA consisting of metal-metal distance of 0.4 nm suggest that electron transfer could occur within the helix and the molecule could behave as a molecular wire. Therefore, the conductivity of M-DNA has been examined by three methods.

First, duplexes were constructed in which the donor fluorophore (fluorescein) was attached to one end of the oligonucleotide and the acceptor fluorophore (rhodamine) was attached at the opposite end. Upon formation of M-DNA the fluorescence of fluorescein is quenched which is attributed to electron transfer of the excited electron from fluorescein down the helix to the rhodamine where it is absorbed (Aich et al., 1999). More recently, a similar system was designed using a DNA Y-branched junction in which the fluorescein was attached to one arm and the electron acceptors rhodamine and anthraquinone were attached to the other two arms. Not only was it shown that electron transfer could occur through the junction, but that the quenching could be modulated by chemical reduction of anthraquinone thereby mimicking a chemical switch (Wettig et al., 2003a). Interestingly, if a protein was bound to the duplex preventing M-DNA formation or the duplex was simply cleaved using a restriction enzyme no quenching was observed in either case (Aich et al., 1999). Unfortunately, it has been suggested that the quenching could occur through fluorescence resonance energy transfer (FRET) opposed to the proposed electron transfer mechanism (Spring and Clegg, 2007). However, quenching was still observed using a different electron acceptor whose absorption spectrum did not overlap with the donor fluorescence (Wettig et al., 2005), a system in which FRET is no longer a reasonable mechanism (Aich et al., 2002).

Second, direct measurements of the conductivity of B- and M-DNA have been performed with phage λ DNA which is about 15 µm in length (Rakitin et al., 2001). The DNA was placed between two gold electrodes separated by a deep physical gap. B-DNA showed semiconducting behavior with a bandgap of a few meV. M-DNA, on the other hand, showed a linear relationship between current and applied voltage with no
apparent bandgap providing direct evidence for metallic-like conduction (Rakitin et al., 2001).

Third, electrochemical techniques have been developed to probe self-assembled monolayers (SAMs) of short duplexes attached to gold electrodes (Herne and Tarlov, 1997; Yang et al., 1998; Kelley et al., 1999; Petrovykh et al., 2003). The rate of electron transfer through an M-DNA duplex was $1.2 \times 10^{-4}$ cm/s whereas for B-DNA it was too slow to be measured (Li et al., 2003).

1.4 Stability and Formation Analysis of M-DNA

Various methods have been developed to assess the stability and formation of DNA. Unfortunately, commonly applied methods such as UV absorbance and Circular Dichroism are rendered ineffective as large changes are not observed for the conversion of B-DNA to M-DNA. However, the formation of M-DNA can be characterized effectively by thermal denaturation profiles. As well, M-DNA does not bind ethidium which allows the formation of M-DNA to be monitored using fluorescence.

1.4.1 Denaturation Profiles

As mentioned previously the denaturation of DNA can be easily followed spectroscopically. Original characterization of M-DNA showed that the $T_m$ of calf thymus DNA only increased slightly in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 6.5. Surprisingly, the $T_m$ increased by as much as 12 °C when the pH was raised to 9.0 (Lee et al., 1993). This result suggested the possibility of a novel metal-DNA complex. Other results have also shown that the increase in $T_m$ seen in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ was even greater than that seen for Mg$^{2+}$ at pH 9.0 (Wood et al., 2002). This also suggests that the Zn$^{2+}$ is not simply binding to the backbone and most likely is attributed to the conformational change to M-DNA. In this capacity, DNA containing various sequences and novel bases were compared at different pH values in the presence of various metal ions using thermal denaturation profiles.
1.4.2 Ethidium Bromide Assay

Ethidium Bromide (EtBr) is a positively-charged planar aromatic compound which has the ability to intercalate between the stacked base pairs of double helical DNA. Although it was originally used as a trypanocidal drug (Kandaswamy and Henderson, 1962; Waring, 1965), its enhanced fluorescence upon binding to dsDNA has made it an attractive agent for identifying and visualizing nucleic acid bands in molecular biology techniques such as agarose gel electrophoresis (Sharp et al., 1973).

Although EtBr will form a complex with most dsDNA it does exhibit preferential binding to pyrimidine (3′-5′) purine deoxydinucleotides as compared to purine (3′-5′) pyrimidine isomers (Krugh and Reinhardt, 1975; Reinhardt and Krugh, 1978). Although it as been shown that the apparent binding affinity of ethidium is not very dependent upon the overall base composition (Waring, 1965; Lepecq and Paoletti, 1967; Muller and Crothers, 1975), ethidium fluorescence has been reported to be slightly higher for AT rich strands (Morgan et al., 1979; Latimer and Lee, 1991).

As demonstrated in the crystal structure shown in figure 1.16, the planar phenanthridinium ring of the ethidium molecule intercalates between adjacent base pairs on the double helix. The DNA becomes saturated at one drug for every two base pairs. This binding pattern is referred to as the neighbor exclusion model and has been attributed to alternating sugar puckers hindering the binding at every base pair (Crothers, 1968; Bresloff and Crothers, 1981).

In its unbound form, EtBr has a very low fluorescence intensity which is attributed to quenching of the excited state molecule by proton transfer to the water or solvent molecules. However, EtBr fluorescence is enhanced approximately 25 fold after intercalating with dsDNA (Lepecq and Paoletti, 1967). This increase in fluorescence is a result of the hydrophobic environment found between the base pairs. As the ethidium moves into this environment it sheds away any water molecules that were associated with it and therefore slows down the proton exchange leading to a longer life time for the excited state and an overall increase in fluorescence (Olmsted and Kearns, 1977).
Figure 1.16  Structure of Ethidium molecule (top) and the crystal structure of ethidium intercalated between 5-iodo-UA (bottom). Taken from (Tsai et al., 1975).
This effect is supported further by the fact that EtBr bound to a triplex is better protected from the solvent and consequently has increased fluorescence (Scaria and Shafer, 1991). However, if a triplex carries a positive charge no fluorescence is observed in the presence of EtBr (Morgan et al., 1979). The lack of fluorescence has been attributed to the presence of a positive charge on the DNA effectively repelling the positively charged ethidium ions and preventing them from binding to the DNA (Morgan et al., 1979; Lee et al., 1984).

Similarly, EtBr does not bind to M-DNA presumably because the metal ion repels the positively-charged molecule (Morgan et al., 1979; Lee et al., 1993). This property allows for a convenient assay to measure the conversion of B-DNA to M-DNA. (Lee et al., 1993).

1.5 Characterization of B-DNA and M-DNA Monolayers on Gold

As discussed above, denaturation profiles and EtBr assays have been used extensively to investigate the physical properties of M-DNA. In this research, surface techniques such as XPS and electrochemistry were also developed. DNA was also immobilized onto a gold substrate through Au-S linkages in order to examine the effects of Zn$^{2+}$ ions on the XP spectra of elements such as nitrogen which is only found on the bases and is projected to directly interact with the Zn$^{2+}$ ion in the M-DNA conformation. As well, detailed information such as the elemental ratios, DNA coverage, density and thickness are all able to be investigated through the use of XPS. Finally, the electronic properties of M-DNA will be assessed through the use of cyclic voltammetry, chronocoulometry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.

1.5.1 Preparation of the Gold Substrate

Polycrystalline gold was the chosen substrate as it is a relatively inert metal and has the capacity to easily attach DNA through thiol or disulfide adsorption as they coordinate very strongly to gold (Finklea, 1996). Although a well-defined single crystal face is important for many surface analytical techniques, there is no consistent evidence
that pinhole-free SAMs require a single crystal surface. The presence of impurities, grain boundaries, or large steps between atomically smooth planes may have more effect on the electrochemical properties of the SAM-coated electrode than the degree of crystallinity (Finklea, 1996).

However, before the substrate can be used, the gold must be cleaned. The two procedures, used in this thesis, for cleaning the gold substrate include immersion of the substrate in a powerful oxidant called “piranha” solution and electrochemical cycling in dilute sulfuric acid. These will be discussed in further detail in the Material and Methods. Both procedures lead to hydrophilic gold surfaces which are completely wetted by water. Any partial dewetting of the gold surface by water indicates incomplete cleaning and the presence of organic contaminants (Whitesides and Laibinis, 1990).

1.5.2 Preparation of Self-Assembled Monolayers

Although organized monolayers can be deposited in a number of ways using various substrates, this discussion will focus on self-assembled monolayers formed by strong adsorption of thiols and disulfides onto a gold substrate (Nuzzo and Allara, 1983; Nuzzo et al., 1987a). The chemisorption of alkanethiols or dialkyl disulfides on gold are easily obtained by exposing a clean gold surface at room temperature to a 0.1 mM solution of the organosulfur compound. The organosulfur species formed from both alkanethiols and dialkyldisulfides at the Au\(^0\) surface is a Au\(^1\) thiolate (Nuzzo et al., 1987b). In the case of dialkyl disulfides, this surface gold thiolate is undoubtedly formed by oxidative addition of the sulfur-sulfur bond to Au\(^0\). Formation of a gold thiolate from a thiol requires loss of hydrogen, but whether this hydrogen is lost as H\(_2\) or lost as water through reactions with trace oxidants in the system is presently not known.

\[
\begin{align*}
2\text{RSH} + 2\text{Au}^0 & \rightarrow 2\text{RS}^-\text{Au}^+ + 2\text{H}_2 \\
\text{RSSR} + 2\text{Au}^0 & \rightarrow 2\text{RS}^-\text{Au}^+ 
\end{align*}
\]
The absorption time is roughly equal for both processes and the energy of the bond between the organic thiolate and the gold surface is approximately 40-45 kcal/mol (Nuzzo et al., 1987b). A number of studies indicate the alkyl chains are largely trans extended, with the axis of the chain tilted approximately 30° from the surface normal (Porter et al., 1987). Also the sulfur atoms rest in threefold hollows of the gold surface (Fenter et al., 1994; Love et al., 2005). Therefore the overall organization is obtained from the affinity of the sulfur to the gold substrate combined with the favorable interactions between close-packed tail groups.

1.5.3 X-Ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

Photoelectron spectroscopy is a common technique used to examine the composition and electronic state of species at the surface or near-surface region. The photoelectric effect is the foundation of this technique. As illustrated in figure 1.17, incident photons are absorbed by various atoms or molecules leading to the ionization and the emission of a photoelectron (Einstein, 1905).

\[ \text{M} + h\nu \rightarrow \text{M}^+ + e^- \]

Based on the principles of conservation of energy, the above equation can be interpreted as follows:

\[ h\nu - E(e^-) = E(\text{M}^+) - E(\text{M}) \]

where \( E(\text{M}) \) and \( E(\text{M}^+) \) are the energies of the atom or molecule M and the ion \( \text{M}^+ \) formed by the ionization, and \( E(e^-) \) is the kinetic energy of the photoelectron. Since \( h\nu \) is known and \( E(e^-) \) can be measured, the difference between the energies of the ion and the original molecule is obtained.
Figure 1.17 (Top) Classic Bohr model illustrating the transfer of energy from the initial X-ray photon to a core-level electron leading to photoemission. (Bottom) Energy Diagram showing ejection of inner 1s electron.
The difference in energy between the ionized and neutral atoms is generally referred to as the binding energy (BE) which leads to the following equation.

\[ KE = hv - BE \]

However, the BE’s in solids are measured with respect to the Fermi-level of the solid, rather than the vacuum level. As illustrated in the energy diagram in figure 1.17, an additional energy is required to raise an electron from the solid to the energy level corresponding to an electron at rest in vacuum. This energy difference is referred to as the work function (\( \Phi \)) and is incorporated into the above equation as follows.

\[ KE = hv - BE - \Phi \]

Photoelectric emission occurs if a photon with energy greater than the work function is applied. Any excess energy is given to the electron as kinetic energy. Depending on the energy of the incident photons, photoelectron spectroscopy can be classified as either ultraviolet photoelectron spectroscopy (UPS) or x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (XPS). UPS uses photons in the ultraviolet spectral range of 10-50 eV and is used to study valence electrons. Conversely, XPS uses x-rays in the range of 100 eV – 10 keV in order to examine the inner core electrons. Since only XPS experiments were performed for this thesis, UPS will no longer be discussed.

XPS instrumentation consists of an x-ray source, a sample, an electrostatic lens system, an electron energy analyzer, an electron detector and a computer system for data collection and processing (Riviere, 1990; Riviere, 1998) (Figure 1.18). Analysis is done in an Ultra-High Vacuum so as to remove adsorbed gases and eliminate adsorption of contaminants on the sample. The x-rays are generated by bombarding a metallic anode with high energy electrons (10-15 keV) and are then focused onto the sample though use of a monochromator. The energy of the generated x-rays is determined by the anode material which is usually Al or Mg. When x-rays strike the sample, a core electron can absorb the energy and be emitted with a range of energies.
Figure 1.18 Schematic diagram of X-ray Photoelectron Spectrophotometer. The key components include X-ray source, collection lens, hemispherical analyzer, detector and computer analyzer.
An electrostatic lens system collects a portion of these emitted electrons and focuses them into an electron energy analyzer called a concentric hemispherical analyzer (CHA). This analyzer consists of two plates. Different voltages are applied to each plate creating an electric field between them. Electrons entering the analyzer with a high energy will contact the outer plate while those with low energy will be attracted to the inner plate. In this capacity, only electrons in a narrow energy region, referred to as the pass energy, are able to travel the distance of the analyzer and reach the detector. A variable retarding voltage is applied to a deceleration element in the transfer lens of the CHA. Therefore, only electrons that leave the target with a specific energy enter and pass through the analyzer to the detector. The commonly used electron detector is referred to as a channeltron. This consists of a bent tube coated with an insulating material which when struck by an electron emits a number of secondary electrons that are accelerated through the channeltron. This process is repeated throughout the length of the tube producing a large number of secondary electrons and therefore an amplified signal. The spectrum is obtained by recording the numbers of electrons as a function of the retarding voltage. This allows the energy resolution to be constant over the entire spectrum.

1.5.3.1 XPS Spectra Analysis

The intensity of a peak depends on how efficiently the x-ray interacts with the specific electron to cause the photoemission process to occur. The efficiency of the photon interaction with the electron is determined by the photoelectron cross section, $\sigma$ (Scofield, 1976). Each spectral line also has a specific width or resolution which is usually defined as the full-width at half maximum. There are three main contributors that affect the photoelectron line width in an XPS spectrum. First, the Heisenberg uncertainty principle states that if the lifetime of an atom or molecule in an electronically excited state is quite short, there is consequently a greater variability in its energy and therefore a broadening of the spectral line will be observed (Heisenberg, 1927).

$$\Delta E \Delta t < \frac{h}{4\pi}$$
Secondly, the natural line-width of the anode material used as the x-ray source usually limits the overall energy resolution. However, the use of a monochromator does reduce line width and therefore improves the resolution. Finally, the resolution is also affected by the pass energy and slit width of the analyzer. Therefore the peaks are fitted using a convolution of a Gaussian function to account for the principal x-ray line and instrumental response and a Lorentzian function to model the lifetime broadening due to the uncertainty principle.

Not only is the line shape important, but the background shape must be considered as well. Since X-rays penetrate far into the material compared to the depth from which electrons of a given kinetic energy can escape from the surface, there are changes to the background resulting from energy loss occurring as the photoelectrons are ejected from the surface material. Therefore, XPS spectra characteristically show an increase in the intensity of the background level on the high energy side of all peaks in an XPS spectrum. This phenomenon is a result of inelastic scattering. For instance, only electrons close to the surface can escape without energy loss. Electrons deeper in the surface lose energy from inelastic scattering and emerge from the sample with reduced KE, and therefore increased BE. Electrons very deep in the surface lose all energy and cannot escape at all. Inelastic scattering is caused from photoelectrons traveling though the solid and interacting with other electrons in the material. The energy loss can result from the primary photoelectron losing energy from a single scattering event as it leaves the sample or multiple scattering events causing secondary low energy electrons to be ejected from the material (Shirley, 1972; Tougaard and Sigmund, 1982)

Since electrons have spin, those ejected from core levels with primary quantum numbers p, d, f show two observable peaks. The separation between the two peaks is referred to as spin-orbit coupling (Laidler and Meiser, 1995). These doublet states are characterized by total angular momentum given by \( j \).

\[
j = |l \pm \frac{1}{2}|
\]
The relative intensities of these doublet pairs can be calculated from \(2j + 1\). Thus for \(p\) electrons where the angular momentum equals one, the relative intensities are 1:2, while for \(d\) electrons the doublet pairs are in the proportion 2:3 and for \(f\) electrons the ratio is 3:4.

1.5.3.2 Variable angle XPS

Variable angle XPS (VAXPS) can be used in order to investigate the depth distribution of different chemical species. In VAXPS, the effective sampling depth is dependent on the angle of the sample relative to the detector. As shown in figure 1.19, as the Take-Off Angle (TOA) goes from 90° to 30° the sampling depth decreases and detection becomes more sensitive towards species at the surface. Therefore, by comparing intensities at low and high take-off angles the approximate location of the species can be determined.

1.5.4 Electrochemistry

Electrochemistry investigates the transfer of charge between a metallic electrode and a species in a conductive solution. Therefore, in a simple scenario, this involves the transport of the reactant to the electrode surface where electron transfer is able to occur. Through oxidation or reduction, the product is produced and returned back to the bulk solution. Although the reaction of interest only occurs at the surface of the working electrode (WE), an auxiliary or counter electrode (CE) must be included in order to complete the circuit allowing the flow of current. The CE is most commonly an inert metal to prevent any interfering reactions and whose electrochemical properties do not affect the behavior of the WE. In most cases, a reference electrode (RE) is also included to monitor or maintain a specific potential at the WE. The reference electrode has a high impedance to prevent current from passing through it and consequently retains a constant potential (Bard, 2001). As well, trace amounts of chloride ions might adsorb onto the electrode surface changing its electrochemistry. Therefore a salt bridge is also used to electrically connect the reference electrode to the main body of the cell to prevent the leakage of ions. A typical three electrode cell setup is shown in figure 1.20.
Figure 1.19  As the sample is rotated, maintaining the X-ray source and detector in fixed positions, the effective sampling depth decrease by a factor of $\sin\theta$. The sample angle, $\theta$, is defined relative to the surface normal.
Figure 1.20 Typical experimental setup of three-electrode cell. Circuit schematic taken from (Bard, 2001)
1.5.4.1 Cyclic Voltammetry

In cyclic voltammetry, a potentiostat is used to direct the flow of electrons by controlling the potential at the WE. As illustrated in figure 1.21, if the potential at the electrode becomes increasingly negative, the energy of the electrons increases until they reach a level high enough that it is thermodynamically favorable to transfer into vacant electronic states on species in the electrolyte. Therefore electrons flow from the electrode to the solution resulting in the reduction of the species in the electrolyte. In this instance, the electrode is referred to as the cathode. Similarly, the energy of the electrons can be lowered to a positive potential in which the electrons from the species in the solution will find it more energetically favorable to transfer to the electrode. The flow of electrons from solution to the electrode will result in the oxidation of the species in the electrolyte and therefore the electrode is considered to behave as an anode.

In this manner CV experiments are performed by linearly sweeping the potential between two values at a fixed scan rate. A typical CV recorded for a reversible single electrode transfer reaction for \([\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3/-4}\) is shown in figure 1.22. As the voltage is swept from a positive to negative potential, a current begins to flow as Fe\(^{III}\) is being reduced to Fe\(^{II}\) at the surface of the electrode. However, for the reaction to continue a constant supply of reactant must approach the surface which is accomplished through diffusion. As more products are created over time, the concentration of reactant approaches zero at the electrode surface and a maximum \((I_{pc})\) eventually occurs. At this point, the diffusion layer becomes too thick to sustain the transfer of enough reactant to the electrode surface and the current begins to drop off according to the Cottrell equation (Bard, 2001). When the scan is reversed, the Fe\(^{II}\) which has been accumulating at the electrode surface from the preceding reduction reaction can now be oxidized back to Fe\(^{III}\) in an identical manner. The most important parameters for a CV are labeled in figure 1.22 and include the cathodic peak current \((I_{pc})\) and potential \((E_{pc})\) as well as the anodic peak current \((I_{pa})\) and potential \((E_{pa})\). The peak potentials provide information regarding the identity of the analyte and the kinetics of the redox process where as the peak currents supply information about the analyte concentration and stability of the electrogenerated species (Bard, 2001).
Figure 1.21  Representation of the oxidation and reduction process at an electrode-solution interface. Adapted from (Bard, 2001)
Figure 1.22 A typical cyclic voltammogram showing reversible electron transfer. Anodic and cathodic current ($I_{pc}$, $I_{pa}$) and potentials ($E_{pc}$, $E_{pa}$) are indicated.
1.5.4.2 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

EIS offers several advantages over cyclic voltammetry because the effects of solution resistance, double-layer charging and current due to diffusion or to other processes occurring in the monolayer are observed more explicitly (Bard, 2001).

Impedance is measured by applying an AC potential to the electrochemical cell and measuring the resulting current. As the name implies, the impedance is the ability of a circuit to oppose the flow of current. There are two components that contribute to the total impedance in our system and will be discussed further. The simplest of these components is the common resistor as it is not dependent on frequency. Therefore at any specific voltage, the current can be easily predicted by Ohms Law. However, the capacitance is more complex as it is dependent upon the frequency. Therefore, to investigate the behavior of our electrochemical system, the impedance was measured over a range of frequencies.

EIS is advantageous because a model based purely on electronic components can be used to represent the electrochemical system. For example, the impedance of an electrode undergoing electron transfer through a DNA self-assembled monolayer is usually described on the basis of the model developed by Randles (Randles, 1947). The circuit components in the Randles cell can easily be compared with familiar physical phenomena (Figure 1.23). The solution resistance term, $R_S$, represents the resistance of the solution between the counter and the working electrode. The charge transfer resistance term, $R_{CT}$, results from the transfer of electrons from the redox probe to the DNA monolayer, through the base pairs of the DNA helix and from the helix to the surface of the gold electrode. The array of charged species and oriented dipoles existing at the metal-solution or DNA-solution interface create an electrical double layer which has been shown to resemble a capacitor (Cdl). As well, at low frequency, diffusion begins to dominate the electrochemical reaction and this mass transfer is referred to as Warburg Impedance (W).
Figure 1.23  Randles equivalent circuit consists of the solution resistance through the redox probe ($R_S$), the charge transfer resistance through the DNA ($R_{CT}$), the double layer capacitance ($C_{dl}$) and the Warburg constant ($W$).
If a circuit is comprised of only a resistor, the sinusoidal waves for the current and voltage are in the same phase and differ only in amplitude. However, when a capacitor is added to the circuit, the waves differ in both amplitude and phase. This can be represented graphically, by using x,y coordinates, magnitude and phase angle, or by using a complex number. In order to graph both components on the same graph, the capacitance term is simply calculated as a complex or imaginary number which is plotted on the y-axis and the resistance is then plotted on the x-axis and labeled as the real component. This popular format for evaluating electrochemical impedance data is referred to as the Nyquist plot. Figure 1.24 illustrates the expected response for the simple Randles circuit shown above the graph. Each point represents the impedance value at a specific frequency which decreases from left to right. At high frequencies, there is not enough time for charge to build up on the plates of the capacitor as the charges are constantly being added and removed as the current direction changes. Therefore, there is no electric field to resist the flow of current and the impedance through the capacitor approaches zero. Thus at high frequencies, the cell is controlled almost entirely by the initial resistance term. At very low frequencies, charge is able to build up causing an electric field. Eventually, it becomes so large that it is unable to be further charged resulting in no voltage drop across the plates preventing any flow of current. Therefore, it is effectively removed from the circuit and the impedance of the cell becomes a combination of both the resistors. Therefore, only at intermediate frequencies does the capacitor begin to have an effect which is evident from the increased imaginary values on the y-axis. Although the capacitance is difficult to calculate from such a graph, this plot format is advantageous as the charge transfer resistance of the system can be easily read and compared.
Figure 1.24 Randles circuit comprised of a 10 $\Omega$ resistor followed by a 100 $\Omega$ resistor and 100 $\mu$F capacitor in parallel. Below is the corresponding Nyquist plot showing the resistance plotted along the x-axis and the capacitance comprising the y-axis component.
1.6 Objectives

The potential utility of M-DNA is restricted by the narrow pH range under which it will form (Lee et al., 1993). Therefore, the first objective of this thesis was to incorporate novel nucleotides into DNA and examine their effect on the formation and stability of M-DNA in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$. Using thermal denaturation profiles and the ethidium fluorescence assay, it was successfully shown that both sequence and base composition were able to lower the pH at which M-DNA formation could occur.

As previously mentioned in section 1.2.3.5, there has been continued interest in the development of DNA scaffolding that can localize metal ions to specific locations. Therefore, it was our second goal to use sequence effects and base replacements in order to localize metal ions at specific locations throughout the M-DNA helix. Convincing results were obtained in solution using the ethidium fluorescence assay. Therefore, the next step was to use DNA self-assembled monolayers to examine how these gaps in the helix would alter the conductance through the DNA. First, detailed characterization of B- and M-DNA monolayers were examined using X-ray photoelectron spectroscopy followed by the examination of the electronic properties using various electrochemical techniques including cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy.
2.0 MATERIALS AND METHODS

2.1 Chemical and Biological Reagents, Supplies and Equipment

Table 2.1 contains a comprehensive list of all chemical and biological reagents, supplies and equipment used for research experiments described throughout this thesis. All chemicals were ACS grade or better. A list of DNA primers used for PCR as well as the names and sequence for all synthetic oligonucleotides can be found in Table 2.2.

2.2 Nucleic Acids

2.2.1 Production of Synthetic Repeating-sequence DNA

Duplex DNAs were synthesized by methods described previously with slight variations to the reaction conditions in order to improve synthesis (Morgan et al., 1974). Reaction mixtures were prepared in 50 mM potassium phosphate (Kpi) buffer pH 7.0 with 5mM MgCl$_2$, 2 mM NTPs, 50 µg/mL albumin, 15 µM double-stranded poly[d(AT)] or poly[d(TG)•d(CA)] as primer and 2 units of *Escherichia coli* DNA polymerase in a final volume of 2 mL. Since the sequence is repetitive, there is strand slippage creating overhangs which allow polymerase a template to elongate the DNA. Reactions were incubated at 37 °C, and the synthesis was followed by the ethidium fluorescence assay (Lepecq and Paoletti, 1967; Morgan et al., 1974). The fluorescence measurements were made on a Hitachi F-2000 fluorescence spectrophotometer set at 525 nm excitation and 600 nm emissions. The spectrofluorometer was calibrated with 10 µL of a 50 µg/mL Calf Thymus DNA standard in 2 mL of ethidium buffer (5 mM Tris-HCl pH 8.0, 0.5 mM EDTA, and 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide). At various times during the reaction, 10 µL of sample was removed and measured.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Supplier</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Chemical and Biological Reagents</strong></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(1,3-bis[tris(Hydroxymethyl)-methylamino]propane) (Bis-Tris)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-deoxyadenosine-5'-triphosphate (dATP)</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-deoxycytidine-5'-triphosphate (dCTP)</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-deoxyguanosine-5'-triphosphate (dGTP)</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-deoxythymine-5'-triphosphate (dTTP)</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2'-deoxyuridine-5'-triphosphate (dUTP)</td>
<td>P-L Chemicals</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-thio-2'-deoxythymine-5'-triphosphate (s2T)</td>
<td>Trilink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(N-cyclohexylamino)ethanesulfonic acid (CHES)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2-(N-Morpholino)ethanesulfonic acid (MES)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3-(N-Morpholino)propanesulfonic acid (MOPS)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4-thio-2'-deoxythymine-5'-triphosphate (s4T)</td>
<td>Trilink</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Agarose (ultra pure)</td>
<td>Gibco BRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Argon (gas)</td>
<td>Praxair</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bacteriophage Lambda DNA (λ DNA)</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bromophenol blue</td>
<td>Pharmacia</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Calf thymus DNA (type I: sodium salt)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cobalt Chloride hexahydrate (CoCl2·6H2O)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Concert™ Rapid PCR purification system</td>
<td>Gibco BRL</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Copper (II) sulfate pentahydrate</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>di-Potassium hydrogen orthophosphate (K2HPO4)</td>
<td>BDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DNA molecular weight markers</td>
<td>Roche, NEB</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethidium Bromide (EtBr)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid disodium salt (EDTA)</td>
<td>BDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hydrochloric acid (HCl)</td>
<td>BDH</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Chloride (MgCl2)</td>
<td>Sigma</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Magnesium Perchlorate hexahydrate (MgClO4)2·6H2O</td>
<td>Alfa Aesar</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
N-(2-hydroxyethyl)piperazine-N'-(2-ethanesulfonic acid) Sigma
Sodium salt (HEPES) Sigma
Nickel Chloride hexahydrate (NiCl₂·6H₂O) Sigma
Nitrogen (gas) Praxair
Perchloric Acid, 70% EMD
Potassium dihydrogen orthophosphate (KH₂PO₄) BDH
Potassium hexacyanoferrate (II) K₄[Fe(CN)₆] Sigma
Potassium hexacyanoferrate (III) K₃[Fe(CN)₆] Sigma
Potassium hexachloroiridate (IV) (K₂IrCl₆) Alfa Aesar
Sodium Chloride (NaCl) Sigma
Sodium Hydroxide (NaOH) BDH
Sodium Perchlorate (NaClO₄) Sigma
Taq DNA polymerase Amersham
Tris[hydroxymethyl]aminomethane (Tris) Sigma
Tth DNA polymerase Roche
Zinc Chloride (ZnCl₂) Sigma
Zinc Perchlorate hexahydrate Zn(ClO₄)₂·6H₂O Sigma

Equipment and Supplies
0.20 µM filter discs Nalgene
18- and 30-guage needles Becton Dickinson
Accumet Basic pH electrode Fisher
Absorbance Spectrophotometer 260 Gilford
Ag/AgCl Reference Electrode BASi
Alumina Polishing Pads BASi
Axis-165 (Kratos Analytical) ACSES
Disposable fluorescence cuvettes VWR
Double-junction reference electrode chamber BASi
Eppendorf Tubes, 15 mL and 50 mL VWR
F-2000 fluorescence spectrophotometer Hitachi
F-2500 fluorescence spectrophotometer Hitachi
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Item</th>
<th>Manufacturer</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Falcon tubes, 15 mL and 50 mL</td>
<td>VWR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Faraday Cage</td>
<td>VoltaLab</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Gold-coated silicon wafers (1000 Å Au)</td>
<td>Platypus Tech.</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Micropipettors and tips</td>
<td>Eppendorf</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Millipore Q System</td>
<td>Millipore</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pasteur pipettes and bulbs</td>
<td>VWR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Platinum wire, annealed 0.5 mm</td>
<td>Alfa Aesar</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Polishing alumina, 0.05 μM, 7mL</td>
<td>BASi</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Potentiostat model 283</td>
<td>PAR</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quartz cuvettes (1 mL)</td>
<td>Fisher</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Single block easy cycler system</td>
<td>Ericomp</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Syringes, 60 mL, 20 mL and 1 mL</td>
<td>Becton Dickinson</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Name</td>
<td>Sequence</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>-----------------------------------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Primers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ-13</td>
<td>5′-GCG GGT TTT CGC TAT TTA TG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>λ-509</td>
<td>5′-CAG CGG AGT CTC TGG CAT TC-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oligomers</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-30</td>
<td>HO-(CH$_2$)$_6$SS-(CH$_2$)$_6$- 5′-TGT GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG GTG-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3′-ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx-30a</td>
<td>HO-(CH$_2$)$_6$SS-(CH$_2$)$_6$- 5′-ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TGT GTG TGT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3′-TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx-30b</td>
<td>HO-(CH$_2$)$_6$SS-(CH$_2$)$_6$- 5′-ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TGT GTG TGT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3′-TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx(s$_4$T)-30a</td>
<td>HO-(CH$_2$)$_6$SS-(CH$_2$)$_6$- 5′-ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TGT GTG TGT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3′-TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC-5'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx(s$_4$T)-30b</td>
<td>HO-(CH$_2$)$_6$SS-(CH$_2$)$_6$- 5′-ATA TAT ATA TAT ATA TGT GTG TGT-3'</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3′-TAT ATA TAT ATA TAT ACA CAC ACA CAC ACA CAC-5'</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Primers were purchased from the University of Calgary Regional DNA Synthesis Lab. Oligomers were purchased from the Plant Biotechnology Institute. The location of the incorporated 4-thiothymines in Mx(s$_4$T)-30 are indicated by the blocked characters in the sequence.
Reactions were allowed to continue linear synthesis until the reaction rate decreased at which time the synthesis was terminated by adding 25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0).

2.2.2 Production of Lambda DNA by PCR

A 496 bp duplex DNA fragment from the bacteriophage lambda genome was amplified using primers λ-13 and λ-509 listed in Table 2.2. Each polymerase chain reaction contained 20 µL of 10X PCR buffer (500 mM KCl, 15 mM MgCl₂, and 100 mM Tris-HCl pH 9.0), 15 µM of lambda template DNA, 9.6 µM of each primer, 0.25 mM of each dNTP, 10 U of Taq DNA polymerase and sterilized ddH₂O in a final volume of 200 µL. The reaction mixtures were covered with 1 drop of parafilm oil and run in an Ericomp thermocycler. Reactions were cycled 30 times with each cycle consisting of 30 sec (94°C), 30 sec (45°C) followed by 1 minute (72°C). Following purification (see below), the length of the PCR products were verified by agarose gel electrophoresis (Figure 2.1). The amplified DNA was run along side molecular weight markers on a 2% (w/v) agarose gel dissolved in running buffer (40 mM TRIS-Acetate pH 8.0, 20 mM sodium acetate, and 0.1 mM EDTA). The samples were electrophoresed at 80 V for 2 hours in a BioRad horizontally submerged gel apparatus and then stained with 2.0 µg/mL EtBr overnight on a shaker. The gels were then photographed under illumination by UV light at 365 nm.

2.2.3 Purification of Nucleic Acids

All synthesized DNA was purified with the Concert™ rapid purification system as per manufacturers instructions (Gibco BRL) using 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5, 8.0, 8.5) or 10 mM 2-[N-Cyclohexylamino]ethanesulfonic acid (CHES) (pH 9.0) with 5 mM NaCl at 65°C to elute the final purified product.
Figure 2.1 Ethidium Bromide fluorescence image showing the electrophoresis of PCR product for $\lambda$-496 DNA. The gel was run on 2.0% agarose. Roche DNA molecular weight marker VIII was loaded at 2 µL in the first lane. Five µL of PCR reaction was loaded into each of the lanes.
2.2.4 Oligonucleotides

The 30-mer oligonucleotides were synthesized in the Plant Biotechnology Institute (National Research Council, Saskatoon, Canada) with a DNA standard solid synthesizer, purified by HPLC and characterized by MALDI-TOF MS. DNA duplexes were prepared by adding 10 nmol of the disulphide-labeled DNA strands to 10 nmol of the complementary strands in a final volume of 100 µL in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ and 100 mM NaClO$_4$ for at least 4 hrs at room temperature. The oligonucleotides were then electrophoresed on a 20% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel in order to validate correct lengths and hybridization (Figure 2.2). Gels were poured and run in 1x TBE at low voltage to prevent denaturation of small fragments of DNA by heating. The gels were then stained with 2.0 µg/mL EtBr overnight on a shaker. The gels were photographed under illumination by UV light at 365 nm. Hybridization and concentration was confirmed using the ethidium fluorescence assay (Le Pecq and Paoletti, 1966). Although the presence of ssDNA can not be completely ruled out, the concentration of dsDNA calculated from both fluorescence and absorbance measurements were equivalent, indicating an undetectable concentration of ssDNA in solution.

2.3 Thermal Denaturation Profiles

The $T_m$ measurements were recorded on a Gilford 600 spectrophotometer equipped with a thermoprogrammer using a 0.5 °C/min heating rate with a DNA concentration of 15 µg/mL. The buffer contained 10 mM Tris-HCl (pH 7.5, 8.0, 8.5) or 10 mM CHES (pH 9.0) and 5 mM NaCl with or without 0.2 mM metal ion.
**Figure 2.2** Ethidium bromide fluorescence image showing the electrophoresis of 30-mer oligonucleotides. The gel was run with 20% non-denaturing polyacrylamide gel for small DNA fragments. USB DNA low molecular weight marker was loaded at 2 μL in the first lane. Five μL of duplex oligonucleotide was loaded into each of the lanes.
Table 2.3  Experimental and Calculated Melting Temperatures of the Oligonucleotides

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>NAME</th>
<th>$T_m$ (°C)</th>
<th>Calculated</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mx-30a</td>
<td>58.9 ± 0.4</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mx-30b</td>
<td>59.6 ± 0.3</td>
<td>59</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TG-30</td>
<td>73.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td>70</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*aThe calculated melting temperatures were derived from the publicly available program MeltCalc.*
2.4 Ethidium Fluorescence Assay

Briefly, if B-DNA is added to a pH 8.3 buffer containing ethidium and 0.2 mM Zn$^{2+}$, the ethidium will bind and the fluorescence will be enhanced. If M-DNA is added to the same buffer the conversion to B-DNA is very slow, ethidium does not bind and there is no enhancement of fluorescence (Lee et al., 1993). By addition of EDTA, the M-DNA is converted back to B-DNA and the fluorescence is restored. The addition of EDTA also serves to distinguish between M-DNA and denatured DNA since the later does not bind ethidium to yield high fluorescence with or without EDTA (Figure 2.3).

A modified form of the ethidium fluorescence assay was used in which the DNA and ZnCl$_2$ were incubated in the presence of ethidium bromide. This technique has been used previously and the ethidium bromide does not affect M-DNA formation (Wood et al., 2002). Aliquots of 10 µL 150 µM DNA (in bases) in 10 mM, CHES (pH 9.0) with 5 mM NaCl were added to 2 mL of ethidium fluorescence buffer (EFB), giving a final DNA concentration of 0.71 µM. The EFB contained 10 mM buffer, 0.2 mM ZnCl$_2$ and 0.5 µg/ml ethidium bromide. Eight pHs of buffer were used: pH 6.0 with 2-[N-morpholino]ethanesulfonic acid (MES) buffer, pH 7.0 and 7.2 with 3-[N-Morpholino]propanesulfonic acid (MOPS), and pH 7.6, 7.8, 8.0, 8.2, and 8.4 with Tris-HCl buffer. The aliquots were incubated in the EFB for 30 minutes then the emission at 600 nm following excitation at 525 nm was recording using a Hitachi F-2500 fluorescence spectrophotometer. EDTA was added to a final concentration of 1.0 mM following each measurement, and the fluorescence was again measured. All values are reported as percentage B-DNA normalized against the pH 6.0 reading taken as 100% B-DNA.
Figure 2.3  A schematic of the ethidium fluorescence assay
2.5 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

An Axis-165 (Kratos Analytical) photoelectron spectrometer equipped with a monochromatic Al-Kα X-ray source with an operating power of 210 W was used to collect photoemission spectra at the Alberta Centre for Surface Engineering and Science, University of Alberta. The size of the sample spot was 400 µm x 700 µm and an 8 channeltron multidetector was used to collect the energy spectra. The base pressure during measurements was maintained at 5 x 10^{-10} Torr. The take-off angles were 90, 70, 45 and 30 degrees from surface. The sample holder was rotated on an axis coplanar with the sample surface and intersecting the detector axis. Survey scans were measured from 0-1100 eV binding energy at 160 eV pass energy at a step increment of 0.33 eV and high-resolution spectra were measured at a pass energy of 40 eV and a step increment of 0.1 eV resulting in an energy resolution of 0.76 eV (measured as the FWHM for Ag 3d_{5/2} peak). The peaks were fit using the publicly available XPSPEAK v. 4.1. The film spectra were referenced to the Au 4f_{7/2} at 84.0 eV and also checked against the Au 4d_{5/2} at 335.2 eV as a secondary reference (Seah, 1989; Powell, 1995). Shirley and linear functions were used as a background and Gaussian-Lorentzian products were used to fit the individual peaks.

2.5.1 Preparation of DNA Modified Gold Electrodes

Prior to deposition of the films, gold-coated silicon wafers were cut into approximately 1cm x 1cm pieces and cleaned in a “piranha solution” consisting of 70% H_2SO_4 and 30% H_2O_2 (30% H_2O_2 in H_2O) for 10 minutes (Caution! Piranha solution should be handled with extreme care and should never be stored in a closed container. It is a very strong oxidant and reacts violently with most organic materials). The wafers were then rinsed thoroughly with Millipore water, dried with argon gas and immediately immobilized with 10 µL of 100 µM dsDNA dissolved in 20 mM Tris-ClO_4 buffer pH 8.5 with 20 mM NaClO_4. The DNA was deposited onto the freshly prepared gold electrode and manually spread to cover the entire gold surface area. The electrodes were placed in a sealed container and incubated for 5 days in a humidifier in
order to prevent evaporation of the samples (Galka and Kraatz, 2002; Long et al., 2003). After the five day incubation, the wafers were then washed thoroughly with buffer in order to remove nonspecifically bound DNA and dried with Argon gas before measurements were taken (Yang et al., 1998). The washing procedure was done using a glass Pasteur pipette in order to produce a reasonable amount of hydrodynamic force and was repeated using approximately 40 mL of buffer before drying with Argon gas. B-DNA was converted to M-DNA by the addition of 0.2 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ to the gold surface for 2 hours (Li et al., 2003; Long et al., 2003). As above, the wafers were washed with buffer and dried with Argon. The bare gold control was cleaned with the same procedure as the other samples. After rinsing with Millipore water the wafer was dried with Argon and incubated for 5 days alongside the other samples.

2.5.2 DNA Coverage Calculations

In order to determine the DNA coverage, the atomic density of N relative to Au ($N_\text{N}/N_\text{Au}$) was first calculated using Equation 3.2, which will be discussed in detail in the Results section. This number was then multiplied by the film thickness in order to determine the relative N coverage and finally, was multiplied by 2.72 x 10$^{13}$ molecules/cm$^2$ to obtain the DNA coverage. The numerical factor 2.72 x 10$^{13}$ molecules/cm$^2$ was derived by dividing the atomic density of gold, calculated as 5.892 x 10$^{22}$ atoms/cm$^3$ assuming a gold density of 19.28 g/cm$^3$, by the number of nitrogen (N=217) in one molecule of dsDNA and multiplying by a factor of 10$^{-7}$ to account for the thickness being in nanometers rather than centimeters.

2.5.3 Density Calculations

In order to calculate the density of DNA in g/cm$^3$, the $N_\text{N}/N_\text{Au}$ calculated from Equation 5 was multiplied by the following conversion factor [19.28 x (18616/217)/197] = 8.40 where 18616 g/mol and 217 are the atomic mass and the number of nitrogen respectively in one molecule of dsDNA. The atomic mass and density of gold are 197 g/mol and 19.28 g/cm$^3$ respectively.
2.6  Electrochemistry

2.6.1  Electrode Preparation

Stationary gold voltammetry electrodes (1.6 mm diameter) were purchased from Bioanalytical Systems. Before use, the electrodes were polished with alumina on fabric pads attached to glass plates and then rinsed thoroughly with Millipore water. Finally, they were electrochemical treated by cycling from a potential of 0.2 to 1.5 V versus Ag/AgCl in 0.5M H$_2$SO$_4$ solution (Finklea, 1996). The voltammogram in figure 2.4 shows two clearly defined peaks separated by a third less distinct peak. The three peaks observed in the anodic scan for bare gold in H$_2$SO$_4$ results from the chemisorption of OH species from the breakdown of water molecules at the electrode surface and proceed via the following three distinct steps (Woods, 1976).

\[
\text{Au} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Au}_4\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \\
\text{Au}_4\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{Au}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}^+ + e^- \\
\text{Au}_2\text{OH} + \text{H}_2\text{O} \rightarrow \text{AuOH} + \text{H}^+ + e^-
\]

These formulas do not represent stoichiometric species, but only denotes the surface-site occupancy. The characteristics of clean gold includes a sharp rise in anodic current near 1.1 V, a single oxide stripping peak near 0.9 V and a reproducible cyclic voltammogram (CV) on successive scans (Figure 2.4). Any residue of organic contaminant left by the preceding cleaning treatments is removed during repeated oxidation and reduction of the gold.
Figure 2.4 CV of a bare gold electrode cycled in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ at 100 mV/s. The shaded area represents the integration of the cathodic peak current.
2.6.2 Real Surface Area Determination

An added advantage of performing the above step is that the real surface area of the gold electrodes can be estimated from the oxygen adsorption measurements. After the three peaks, there should be an approximate monolayer. Therefore the coverage can be obtained by desorbing the layer on the cathodic potential sweep and calculating the amount of charge passed (Figure 2.4). This can be done by integrating the cathodic peak current vs. voltage and then dividing by the scan rate or simply graphing the current vs. time and directly integrating the charge. The charge corresponding to the adsorption of one oxygen atom per surface site was calculated to be approximately 386 µC cm\(^{-2}\) (Woods, 1976). Hence, the real area is given by the calculated charge of cathodic peak divided by 386 µC cm\(^{-2}\). Importantly, it can be seen that the current begins to increase above ~1.5 V due to the onset of molecular oxygen evolution causing an increasingly larger cathodic peak (Figure 2.5). Therefore, care must be taken to stop the scan prior to this event in order to achieve a more accurate estimation of surface roughness. Also the charge created from the double layer capacitance should not be included when calculated the amount of charge passed.

Another approach to obtain the real surface area of the gold electrode is to perform CV experiments in solution containing a redox probe at various scan rates. The slope from the peak current vs. the inversed square root of the scan rate can be used in the Randles-Sevcik equation in order to obtain the area of the electrode.

\[
I_p = \left(2.69 \times 10^5\right) n^{3/2} AD^{1/2} v^{1/2} C_0
\]  

(2.1)

Where the peak current \(I_p\) is in Amps, \(n\) is the number of electrons transferred, the diffusion coefficient \(D\) is in cm\(^2\)/sec, the scan rate \(v\) is in V/sec, the concentration is in mols/cm\(^3\) and the area of the electrode surface \(A\) is in cm\(^2\).
Figure 2.5 CV of a bare gold electrode cycled in 0.5 M H$_2$SO$_4$ to increasingly positive potentials.
Figure 2.6 Cyclic Voltammetry of bare gold electrode performed in 1 mM IrCl$_6^{2-/3-}$ at various scan rates.
2.6.3 Monolayer Preparation

Immediately following the electrochemical treatment in \( \text{H}_2\text{SO}_4 \), the electrode was thoroughly rinsed with Millipore water, dried with argon and 5 \( \mu \text{L} \) of 100 \( \mu \text{M} \) dsDNA was deposited onto electrode. The freshly prepared electrodes were incubated for 5 days in a sealed humidifier in order to prevent evaporation of the samples (Galka and Kraatz, 2002; Long \textit{et al.}, 2003). After 5 days, the electrodes were rinsed thoroughly with buffer solution in order to remove nonspecifically bound DNA and mounted into an electrochemical cell shown in figure 1.20 in section 1.5.4.1 of the Introduction (Yang \textit{et al.}, 1998).

2.6.4 Electrochemical Measurements

All electrochemical experiments were performed in a conventional three electrode cell consisting of a gold working electrode with a geometrical area of 2.0 mm\(^2\), a Ag/AgCl/3 M NaCl reference electrode encased in a double-junction electrode chamber containing 3 M KNO\(_3\) and finally a Pt wire (0.5 mm diameter) as the auxiliary/counter electrode. The salt bridge was used in conjunction with the reference electrode in order to prevent the leakage of Cl\(^-\) ions which can result in etching of the gold surface or stripping of the DNA monolayer. All experiments were performed in a grounded Faraday cage at room temperature using a Princeton EG & G 1025 frequency response analyzer interfaced to an EG & G 283 potentiostat/galvanostat. All data was compiled using oligomers Mx-30a and Mx-30b unless otherwise specified.

Typical cyclic voltammetry experiments were done in 2 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM TrisClO\(_4\) at a sweep rate of 100 mV/s. Impedance measurements were taken using an ac voltage amplitude of 5 mV with voltage frequencies ranging from 100 kHz to 25 mHz using an applied potential of 690 mV vs Ag/AgCl. Measurements were performed in 1 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM TrisClO\(_4\). The chronocoulometry experiments were done using a 400 mV potential step experiment (900 to 500 mV) in 2 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM TrisClO\(_4\). The initial potential of 900 mV was held for 0.1 sec and then stepped to 500 mV for 9.0 sec.
The dsDNA monolayer was converted to M-DNA by incubating the monolayer in a solution of 0.4 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ buffer (pH 8.6). Bis-tris propane was used for experiments involving buffers at pH 6.0, 6.3, and 6.7. Denaturation of ds-DNA was achieved by exposing the monolayer to 10 mM NaOH for 10 minutes at 65 °C, thorough rinsing with Millipore water followed by an additional 10 minute soak in NaOH. Rehybridization was performed by exposing the film to 100 µM target strand in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$, 100 mM NaClO$_4$ (pH 7.1) for 3 hours.

2.6.4.1 Determination of DNA Surface Density

Chronocoulometry experiments were also performed in order to determine the DNA concentration on the gold surface (Steel et al., 1998; Yu et al., 2003). The measurements were done in Tris buffer (pH 8.5) in the presence and absence of 100 µM [Ru(NH$_3$)$_6$]$_3^{3+}$. Since [Ru(NH$_3$)$_6$]$_3^{3+}$ binds to the negatively charged phosphodiester backbone of DNA in a 1:3 ratio, the amount of charge-compensating redox marker can be used to determine the DNA surface density with the integrated Cottrell equation, which expresses charge $Q$ as a function of $t$

$$Q = \frac{2nFAD_0^{1/2}C_0^*}{\pi^{1/2}} t^{1/2} + Q_{dl} + nFAG_0$$

(2.2)

where $n$ is the number of electrons per molecule for reduction, $F$ is the Faraday constant (C/equiv), $A$ is the electrode area (cm$^2$), $D_0$ is the diffusion coefficient (cm$^2$/s), $C_0^*$ is the bulk concentration (mol/cm$^2$), $Q_{dl}$ is the capacitive charge (C), and $nFAG_0$ is the charge from the reduction of $\Gamma_0$ (mol/cm$^2$) of adsorbed [Ru-(NH$_3$)$_6$]$_3^{3+}$. The surface excess term, $\Gamma_0$, is determined from the difference in chronocoulometric intercepts for identical experiments in the presence and absence of redox marker. The DNA surface density can then be calculated using the following relationship

$$\Gamma_{DNA} = \frac{\Gamma_0(z/m)(N_A)}{m}$$

(2.3)

where $\Gamma_{DNA}$ is the DNA surface density (molecules/cm$^2$), $m$ is the number of bases in the immobilized DNA, $z$ is the charge of the redox molecule, and $N_A$ is Avogadro’s number (Steel et al., 1998).
3.0 RESULTS

3.1 Thermal Denaturation Profiles

The effect of metal ions on DNA stability has been extensively investigated previously using thermal denaturation profiles (Eichhorn, 1962; Eichhorn and Shin, 1968). Due to their ease of determination and the reproducibility of results, they were implemented in order to assess the effect of the sequence, modified base substitutions and pH on the thermal stability of M-DNA.

3.1.1 Denaturation Profile of Lambda DNA

As shown in figure 3.1, in the absence of metal ion, λ-496 DNA has three different melting transitions corresponding to A•T rich regions, mixed regions and G•C rich regions of DNA. However, upon the addition of Zn\(^{2+}\) at pH 7.5 only one melting transition is observed. This new \(T_m\) corresponds more closely to that of the A•T region in lambda DNA meaning the higher melting G•C regions have become less stable under these conditions. As the pH is increased to 8.0 and 8.5, the destabilization becomes much more prominent. However, upon the addition of Zn\(^{2+}\) at pH 9.0 there is an increase in temperature corresponding more closely to the mixed or G•C rich regions. This sudden increase in thermostability is associated with the structural transition to M-DNA. Interestingly, although the addition of Mg\(^{2+}\) (Table 3.1) increases the melting temperature at all pH values, there still remain three separate melting transitions. The drastic difference in the denaturation profiles between Mg\(^{2+}\) and Zn\(^{2+}\) support previous claims that Mg\(^{2+}\) is simply binding to the backbone whereas Zn\(^{2+}\) is binding to the nucleobases and affecting the hydrogen bonds. This may be responsible for the initial destabilization, but under high pH conditions the DNA is able to undergo a conformational change to M-DNA.
Figure 3.1 Thermal denaturation profiles for λ-496 DNA in the absence of metal ions (□) and in the presence of 0.2 mM ZnCl₂ (○) at pH a) 7.5 and b) 9.0. The solid lines represent calculated sigmoidal fits to the corresponding data.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>pH</th>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>DNA + Zn</th>
<th>DNA + Mg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>7.5</td>
<td>(72 ± 3), (76 ± 3), (80 ± 2)</td>
<td>(69 ± 1)</td>
<td>(77 ± 1), (82 ± 1), (85 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.0</td>
<td>(70 ± 1), (74 ± 1), (77 ± 2)</td>
<td>(59 ± 1)</td>
<td>(78 ± 1), (83 ± 1), (85 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8.5</td>
<td>(70 ± 4), (75 ± 4), (78 ± 4)</td>
<td>(59 ± 3)</td>
<td>(77 ± 1), (82 ± 1), (84 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9.0</td>
<td>(66 ± 2), (71 ± 2), (74 ± 2)</td>
<td>(70 ± 3)</td>
<td>(77 ± 1), (82 ± 1), (84 ± 1)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1  Melting Temperatures of λ-496 DNA in the Presence and Absence of Metal Ions
These experiments demonstrate the importance of pH on the formation of M-DNA. However, in order to investigate the effects of sequence on M-DNA formation, repetitive sequence DNA was synthesized. Such DNA is extremely useful as its sequence repetition will amplify any effect that the particular sequence has on the conformation.

### 3.1.2 Synthesis of Repetitive Sequence DNA

An important aspect of the experiments described in this report was the synthesis of repetitive sequence nucleic acids. An example of the reaction kinetics for the synthesis of poly[d(AT)] is shown in figure 3.2. Initially, great care was taken to stop the reaction before synthesis was complete in order to inhibit the 5'→3' exonuclease activity of *E. coli* polymerase which would cause rapid degradation of the duplex, as demonstrated in figure 3.2. However, in the majority of subsequent experiments only the large fragment, called the Klenow fragment, of polymerase was used. The Klenow fragment is advantageous as it maintains the polymerase activity as well as the 3'→5' proofreading activity, but no longer has the 5'→3' exonuclease activity which is responsible for degrading the DNA. Therefore, synthesis reactions were allowed to continue to completion without the need to stop the reaction prematurely.

The effect of sequence on the rate of M-DNA formation was previously investigated and it was found that poly[d(TG)•d(CA)] formed Zn$^{2+}$ M-DNA the quickest while poly[d(AT)] formed it at the slowest rate. After only a couple of minutes poly[d(TG)•d(CA)] was completely converted to M-DNA. However, even after one hour, the majority of poly[d(AT)] was still in the B-DNA conformation (Lee *et al.*, 1993). Therefore, these sequences were considered excellent candidates to study the effects of sequence in combination with the incorporation of novel nucleotides on the formation and stability of M-DNA.
Figure 3.2  Synthesis of poly[d(AT)] in Kpi buffer system at 37°C using DNA E. coli polymerase. Synthesis was followed by the ethidium fluorescence assay. Reactions were allowed to continue linear synthesis until the reaction rate decreased at which time the synthesis was terminated by adding 25 mM EDTA (pH 8.0).
Since transition metal ions such as Zn$^{2+}$ form stable complexes with sulphur-containing ligands (Lee et al., 1984; Maret, 2004), it was considered possible that incorporation of thiobases into the DNA helix might stabilize M-DNA at lower pHs. Therefore, the effects of the nucleotide analogues 2-thiothymine ($s^2T$) and 4-thiothymine ($s^4T$) on the stability of M-DNA was studied by preparing poly[d(As$^2T$)], poly[d(As$^4T$)], poly[d($s^2T$G)•d(CA)] and poly[d($s^4T$G)•d(CA)].

The synthesis of $s^4T$-containing duplexes proved to be much more difficult than those with $s^2T$. A possible explanation for this is that the thioketo group coordinated to the C4 position is directly involved in a hydrogen bond whereas the sulfur bond to the C2 position is not. Since the atomic radius of sulfur (109 pm) is almost twice as large as the oxygen atom (65 pm) it is replacing, a duplex with $s^4T$ must be distorted with a widening of the major groove. However, it was discovered that synthetic reactions containing 50% $s^4T$TP and 50% TTP gave reasonable yields with approximately a 42% incorporation of $s^4T$. Since the incorporation of $s^4T$ gives a characteristic UV maximum at approximately 345 nm which is clearly separated from the 260 nm maximum observed in native DNA, the proportion of $s^4T$ residues was estimated by comparing our spectra with those reported elsewhere (Figure 3.3) (Connolly and Newman, 1989).

Interestingly, in the presence of 0.2 mM ZnCl$_2$ at pH 8.5, the 345 nm peak of poly[(As$^4T$)] shows a blue shift of approximately 10 nm as well as a slight decrease in the intensity at 260 nm (Figure 3.4). The 345 nm peak does not change with increasing pH in the absence of metal ion or in the presence of MgCl$_2$ as shown in figure 3.5. Only when the DNA is completely denatured is there a similar shift, which most likely results from the single stranded thiobases being in a state where they are free to interact with the Zn$^{2+}$ or Mg$^{2+}$. However, the decrease in absorbance at 260 nm in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 8.5 clearly shows that the DNA is not denatured and that the Zn$^{2+}$ must be interacting with the thiobases while maintaining the duplex conformation. All of these results suggest strongly that a conformational change such as that proposed for M-DNA is taking place.
Figure 3.3  The UV absorption spectra of poly[d(As$^4$T)]. The percentage of s$^4$T incorporation was calculated based on the $A_{260}/A_{345}$ ratio in comparison to published data from Connolly and Newman, 1989.
Figure 3.4 Absorption spectra of poly[d(As₄T)] in the absence of metal ion at 27°C (□); with 0.2 mM ZnCl at 27°C (○); with 0.2 mM ZnCl at 92°C (△); in (a) 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) with 5 mM NaCl and (b) in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.5) with 5 mM NaCl.
Figure 3.5 Absorption spectra of poly[d(As^4T)] in the absence of metal ion at 27°C (□); with 0.2 mM MgCl at 27°C (○); with 0.2 mM MgCl at 92°C (△); in (a) 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 7.5) with 5 mM NaCl and (b) in 10 mM Tris-HCl buffer (pH 8.5) with 5 mM NaCl.
Although initially this discovery showed promise for the development of an additional assay to monitor the formation of M-DNA, further studies showed that the shift is far less dramatic when the s^4T is incorporated into λ-496 DNA. The decrease in sensitivity is most likely a result of sequence. Previously, it was shown that oligonucleotides GACGAs^4TATCGTC and GACGATA^4TCGTC gave slightly different maximum UV values of 345 nm and 335 nm respectively (Connolly and Newman, 1989). Therefore, such a shift would mask any effects seen for the conversion of B-DNA to M-DNA. However, this development has clearly shown that there is a definite conformational change in the presence of Zn^{2+} as opposed to magnesium.

3.1.3 Effect of Base-Substitutions on Thermal Stability

Synthetic DNAs were studied by comparing thermal denaturation profiles at different pHs in the presence or absence of Zn^{2+}. Mg^{2+} was also included as a control since it does not induce M-DNA formation and stabilizes B-DNA at all pHs (Lee et al., 1993). As shown in figure 3.6a, an expected increase of approximately 10 °C in the $T_m$ for poly[d(AT)] in the presence of Mg^{2+} is observed at all pH values (Eichhorn and Shin, 1968). There is also a smaller increase observed upon the addition of Zn^{2+} at pHs 7.5, 8.0 and 8.5. However, there is an additional increase in $T_m$ above that for Mg^{2+} observed for Zn^{2+} at pH 9.0. This increase in thermostability at high pH is attributed to the formation of M-DNA. As shown in figure 3.6b the $T_m$ of poly[d(As^4T)] with Zn^{2+} seems thermally unstable and reaches a minimum of 38 °C at pH 8.5. Although an increase to nearly 50°C at pH 9.0 does suggest the formation of M-DNA, the structure is very unstable in comparison to its B-DNA construct. In figure 3.6c, the $T_m$ of poly[d(As^4T)] with Zn^{2+} reaches a minimum of 35°C at pH 8.0 which begins to increases at pH 8.5 and continues to 55 °C at pH 9. Therefore, this analogue seems to aid in the early formation of M-DNA at a lower pH value but still remains thermally unstable until pH 9.0 at which point the melting temperature is similar to that for Mg^{2+}. 
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Figure 3.6 The effect of pH on the $T_m$ of (a) poly[d(AT)]; (b) poly[d(As$^2$T)]; and (c) poly[d(As$^4$T)] in the presence of 0.2 mM MgCl$_2$ (●), 0.2 mM ZnCl$_2$ (▲), and in the absence of metal ion (■).
In figure 3.7a, an expected increase of approximately 5 °C in the $T_m$ for poly[d(TG)•d(CA)] in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ is observed at all pHs. Similar to poly[d(AT)], there is an additional increase in the $T_m$ of poly[d(TG)•d(CA)] above that seen for Mg$^{2+}$ observed for Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 9.0 which is attributed to the formation of M-DNA. The $T_m$ of poly[d(s$^2$TG)•d(CA)] in figure 3.7b continues to decrease with higher pH values. Much like poly[d(As$^2$T)], poly[d(s$^2$TG)•d(CA)] is very unstable in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$, but shows even less propensity to forming M-DNA. However, the denaturation profile for poly[d(s$^4$TG)•d(CA)] in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ shows an additional increase above that for Mg$^{2+}$ beginning as low as pH 8.0 (Figure 3.7c).

In order to investigate how thermal stability correlates with the ease with which the polymer will convert to M-DNA, the ethidium assay was used to examine the pH at which the transition occurs.

### 3.2 Ethidium Fluorescence Assay

#### 3.2.1 Zn$^{2+}$ M-DNA Formation on Repeating-sequence DNA

In the presence of 0.2 mM Zn$^{2+}$, poly[d(AT)] shows complete M-DNA conversion at pH 8.6 (Figure 3.8a). As expected from the thermal denaturation profiles, poly[d(As$^4$T)] is able to form M-DNA at an even lower pH and in fact, is fully converted by pH 8.2. Surprisingly, the thermal profile of poly[d(As$^2$T)] suggested a very unstable conformation, however, it was still able to incorporate Zn$^{2+}$ at a lower pH than the unmodified poly[d(AT)]. A possible explanation can be found in the $T_m$ data measured at pH 7.5 (Figures 3.6 and 3.7) where all the DNAs are in the ‘B’ conformation. The addition of Zn$^{2+}$ increases the $T_m$ of poly[d(At)] but decreases the $T_m$ of poly[d(As$^2$T)], poly[d(TG)•d(CA)], poly[d(s$^2$TG)•d(CA)] and poly[d(s$^4$TG)•d(CA)]. Therefore, the B-form of poly[d(At)] may be unusually refractory to conversion to M-DNA and therefore in this sequence context, the unusual base plays an important role in M-DNA formation.
Figure 3.7 The effect of pH on the $T_m$ of (a) poly[d(TG)•d(CA)]; (b) poly[d(s$^2$TG)•d(CA)]; and (c) poly[d(s$^4$TG)•d(CA)] in the presence of 0.2 mM MgCl$_2$ (●), 0.2 mM ZnCl$_2$ (▲) and in the absence of metal ion (■).
Figure 3.8 The conversion of B-DNA to M-DNA with 0.2 mM ZnCl$_2$ at ambient temperature. (A) poly[d(AT)], (■); poly[d(As$_2$T)], (●); poly[d(As$_4$T)], (▲). (B) poly[d(TG)•d(CA)], (■); poly[d(s$_2$TG)•d(CA)], (●); poly[d(s$_4$TG)•d(CA)], (▲).
The results in figure 3.8b are consistent with the previous $T_m$ data. At pH 7.9, poly[d(TG)]•poly[d(CA)] is fully converted to M-DNA. However, upon the incorporation of $s^4T$, the polymer is almost completely converted at pH 7.6. In contrast, the pH of poly[d(s$^2$TG)]•poly[d(CA)] conversion increases to 8.2. Therefore, it can be clearly seen that poly[d(AT)] has the lowest propensity of forming M-DNA. In the presence of 0.2 mM Zn$^{2+}$, poly[d(TG)]•poly[d(CA)] shows complete conversion to M-DNA at pH 7.9 whereas poly[d(AT)] is still 100% B-DNA. As hypothesized from the thermal denaturation profiles, the pH at which M-DNA will form can be further reduced by incorporating $s^4T$ into poly[d(TG)]•poly[d(CA)]. Therefore, the next step was to use these sequence effects in combination with the modified bases in order to design oligonucleotides that could localize metal ions at various regions according to the pH.

### 3.2.2 Zn$^{2+}$ M-DNA Formation on 30-mer Oligonucleotides

Double stranded oligomers 30 nucleotides in length were synthesized containing the sequences $d(TG)_{15}$$d(CA)_{15}$, a mixed sequence with 50% $d(AT)$ and the other 50% $d(TG)$$d(CA)$ and another mixed sequence in which three terminal thymines on the $d(TG)$$d(CA)$ track where replaced with 4-thiothymines. All of the sequences and corresponding names can be found in Table 2.2 of the Material and Methods. As would be predicted from the previous experiments, figure 3.9 demonstrates that at pH 7.9 TG-30 is completely converted to M-DNA, but both mixed sequences show approximately only 50% M-DNA formation which accurately corresponds to the $d(TG)$$d(CA)$ tract forming M-DNA while the $d(AT)$ tract remains as B-DNA. Also, as would be expected, the mixed sequence Mx($s^4T$)-30 containing the incorporated 4-thiothymines begins to form M-DNA at a lower pH compared to both Mx-30 and TG-30. Once the $d(TG)$$d(CA)$ track forms M-DNA, Mx($s^4T$)-30 starts to plateau at pH 7.9 and begins to follow the Mx-30 sequence more closely corresponding to the M-DNA conversion of the remaining $d(AT)$ tract.
Figure 3.9 The conversion of B-DNA to M-DNA with 0.2 mM Zn$^{2+}$, TG-30 (●); average of Mx-30a and Mx-30b, (■); average of Mx(s$^4$T)-30a and Mx(s$^4$T)-30b (▲).
3.3 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

A continuing challenge in the investigation of M-DNA has been solidifying the proposed binding location of the metal ion. Therefore, XPS was employed to gain information on the electronic structure and chemical bonding of the molecules. In XPS, the incident x-ray photons are absorbed by various atoms in the surface layer leading to the ionization and the emission of an inner shell electron. Photoelectrons from each element will have a characteristic binding energy and relative intensity associated with each core atomic orbital providing an extremely powerful tool for quantitative analysis of the surface composition. Notably, the shape of the peak and the binding energy are slightly altered by variations in the electrostatic shielding of the inner shell electrons from all other electrons in the atom. Also, the removal or addition of electronic charge as a result of changes in bonding can also cause similar shifts in energy. Therefore, in order to gain more information on the structure and electronic properties of M-DNA, nucleic acids were immobilization onto a gold surface and analyzed using XPS.

Previous XPS studies of DNA have delineated the binding energies (BE) of the C 1s, N 1s, O 1s and P 2p electrons in ssDNA (Petrovykh et al., 2003; Moses et al., 2004; Saprigin et al., 2005). Of particular interest are the N atoms which are only present in the bases and are presumed to interact directly with Zn\(^{2+}\) upon M-DNA formation. Therefore by examining these spectra, we were able to study the location of the metal ion location within the DNA helix.

3.3.1 Characterization of the DNA Monolayer

Duplex DNA, 30 base pairs in length, was assembled onto the gold surface as described in Materials and Methods and the resultant monolayer was characterized by XPS. Disulfides with an attached hexanol were chosen rather than simple thiol adsorption because previous results showed stable monolayers with improved dehybridization-rehybridization characteristics (Long et al., 2003; Long et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006). A monolayer formed from ssDNA or a simple thiol linked duplex may position the molecules too close together to allow efficient rehybridization. Another
possible problem with ssDNA attachment is that the DNA may non-specifically bind through the bases so that it is unavailable for hybridization.

### 3.3.1.1 DNA Film Thickness

From the intensity of the Au 4f\textsubscript{7/2} peaks, the thickness of each DNA monolayer was estimated using the following equation,

\[
\ln(I) = -d/\lambda \sin \theta + \ln(I_0)
\]

(3.1)

where \(\lambda\) is the inelastic mean free path (IMPF), \(\theta\) is the takeoff angle between the sample surface and the photoelectron energy analyzer, \(I\) is the gold photoelectron intensity with the DNA sample present, and \(I_0\) is the photoelectron intensity of the bare gold substrate. The value of \(\lambda\) was taken to be 3.980 nm which was the average effective attenuation length (EAL) from the gold substrate photoelectron in a DNA overlayer calculated using the NIST Standard Reference Database 82 (SRD-82) software (Powell, 2001). The EAL definition allows this term to be introduced in place of the \(\lambda\) (IMPF). A more detailed description and discussion on EAL formalism can be found in the following review (Jablonski and Powell, 2002). According to the above equation, \(\ln(I)\) should be linearly related to \(1/(\sin \theta)\) with the slope of \(-(d/\lambda)\).

Therefore, XP spectra were obtained at the takeoff angles 90°, 60°, 45°, 30° and the slope from the plot of \(\ln(I)\) versus \(1/(\sin \theta)\) was used to calculate the thickness of each monolayer (Figure 3.10) (Kondo et al., 1998). Each monolayer was composed of 30-mer duplexes attached to the gold surface through an S-(CH\textsubscript{2})\textsubscript{6} linker. Therefore, a thickness of approximately 11.2 nm would be expected if the strands were stretched to full length and perpendicular to the surface assuming the DNA films do not collapse when the water is removed under vacuum. However, the average thickness calculated for the B-DNA monolayers was determined to be 5.2 ± 0.4 nm.
Figure 3.10 (a) Au 4f XP spectra of the DNA SAM on polycrystalline gold measured at various values of $\theta$; (b) The relationship between $1/\sin\theta$ and logarithm of the integrated peak intensities from the Au 4f $7/2$ XP spectra in figure A.
Therefore, for a completely rigid structure, the DNA chains would be orientated at approximately 30° to the surface (Figure 3.11). However, another possibility is that the long oligomers in conjunction with the measurements being done in a vacuum have lead to the hybridized strands forming kinks or bends leading to a decrease in thickness. As well, immobilization in the presence of a monovalent cation such as NaCl compared to a divalent cation such as MgCl$_2$ has been shown to decrease the monolayer coverage by approximately two fold (Petrovykh et al., 2003). This would result in a lower surface density and most likely a decrease in thickness as well.

### 3.3.1.2 Elemental Analysis

All films analyzed showed distinct peaks for the principal elements in an immobilized thiol-linked DNA film (C, O, N, P, S). The N 1s (399.5 – 402.0 eV), P 2p (134.0 eV) and S 2p (162.0 eV) peaks are all evident in the spectra with immobilized DNA but are absent in the spectrum for bare gold providing good evidence for the attachment of DNA to the surface (Figure 3.12)(Table 3.2). The bare spectrum does show peaks for carbon (285 eV) and oxygen (531 eV) most likely as a result of atmospheric contamination. In order to analyze the composition and coverage of the monolayer, elemental concentrations were determined using the following equation suggested by Petrovykh et al., 2003.

\[
\frac{N_X}{N_{Au}} = \frac{I_X}{I_{Au}} \frac{T_{Au} \sigma_{Au} L_{Au}^0}{T_X \sigma_X L_X^0} \exp[-d / L_{Au}] \\
1 - \exp[-d / L_X]
\]  

(3.2)

where $T$ is the analyzer transmission function, $\sigma$ is the total photoelectric cross section in which Scofield coefficients were used (Scofield, 1976), $d$ is the film thickness and $L$ is the EAL for electrons in the film. More specifically, this equation utilizes two types of EALs: “average practical EAL” (PEALs) which refers to the attenuation of the electrons from the various elements caused by the DNA film and “EAL for quantitative analysis” (QEALs) which refer to the electrons originating in a material and attenuating within the material itself.
Figure 3.11 Schematic of dsDNA orientation at 30° to the surface.
Figure 3.12  XP spectra of bare gold (bottom) and 30 basepair duplex B-DNA assembled on gold (top) for elements P, S and N.
Table 3.2  Average Elemental Compositional Data for DNA Monolayers on Gold in the Presence and Absence of Various Metal Ions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Atoms Percent</th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th>P/N</th>
<th>C/N</th>
<th>O/N</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Au 4f</td>
<td>N 1s</td>
<td>P 2p</td>
<td>C 1s</td>
<td>O 1s</td>
<td>S 2p</td>
<td>Zn 2p</td>
<td>Mg 1s</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Bare Au</td>
<td>81.3 (4.8)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>15.2 (2.9)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.9)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.28</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>DNA theoretical</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>B-DNA</td>
<td>28.5 (1.6)</td>
<td>6.1 (0.2)</td>
<td>2.1 (0.3)</td>
<td>33.7 (9.2)</td>
<td>29.0 (8.7)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.3)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.34</td>
<td>5.5</td>
<td>4.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-DNA</td>
<td>29.2 (3.6)</td>
<td>5.7 (0.1)</td>
<td>2.6 (0.1)</td>
<td>30.6 (2.7)</td>
<td>30.4 (6.7)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.0)</td>
<td>1.2 (0.4)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.46</td>
<td>5.4</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-DNA ab</td>
<td>36.9 (1.6)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>2.4 (0.4)</td>
<td>31.5 (1.1)</td>
<td>19.6 (1.3)</td>
<td>0.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>3.5 (1.2)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.43</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg²⁺ (pH 8.6)</td>
<td>22.9 (2.2)</td>
<td>8.7 (1.6)</td>
<td>3.9 (0.5)</td>
<td>34.9 (1.8)</td>
<td>27.7 (3.5)</td>
<td>0.3 (0.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.5 (0.2)</td>
<td>0.45</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn²⁺ (pH 7.0)</td>
<td>23.2 (4.9)</td>
<td>7.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>2.8 (0.1)</td>
<td>29.7 (0.8)</td>
<td>36.9 (5.0)</td>
<td>0.1 (0.0)</td>
<td>0.2 (0.1)</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.40</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

After the monolayer was converted to M-DNA the electrodes were rinsed with a 20 mM Tris-ClO₄ and 20 mM NaClO₄ or alternatively b 20 mM Tris-ClO₄ and 20 mM NaClO₄ containing 0.4 mM Zn(ClO₄)₂. The values in parentheses represent the standard deviation.
In the above equation, $L_{Au}$ and $L_\alpha$ represent the PEAL for electrons from Au and from DNA elements ($X = C, N, O, P, Zn$) in the DNA film respectively. Similarly, $L^Q$ is used to assign the QEAL values all of which are listed in Table 3.3. More complete and comprehensive definitions of EALs and their appropriate use can be found in the review mentioned previously (Jablonski and Powell, 2002).

Since both P and N are located exclusively in DNA, their presence in the proper stoichiometric ratio reveals important information regarding the state of the film. Although the average P/N ratios are constant within the experimental $\pm 10\%$ error reported by Petrovykh (Petrovykh et al., 2003), the ratios are higher than expected (Table 3.2). This result may be due to some desorption or preferential damage of the DNA bases compared to the phosphate backbone.

### 3.3.1.3 Determination of DNA Coverage

Since the DNA contains more N atoms than P atoms, and N has a higher XPS cross section the signal-to-noise was much higher and therefore was used to determine the DNA coverage. The DNA coverage was determined to be approximately $1.2 \times 10^{13}$ molecules/cm$^2$ with a density of 0.702 g/cm$^3$ (Table 3.4). The DNA coverage is less than the value of $3.7 \times 10^{13}$ molecules/cm$^2$ reported elsewhere for single stranded 25mer oligonucleotides (Steel et al., 2000). However, this is most likely because the diameter is larger for dsDNA and there is possibly more repulsion between adjacent duplexes. Since there is limited data on the self-assembly of dsDNA, a surface coverage of approximately $4 \times 10^{12}$ molecules/cm$^2$ was found for monolayers formed by the self-assembly of ssDNA followed by hybridization (Steel et al., 1998). It is interesting to note the increase in surface coverage seen for dsDNA assembly in comparison to assembly through hybridization. This may be a result of the poor hybridization efficiency associated with the latter method.
Table 3.3  Calculated EAL Values for the Major Components in the DNA Monolayer

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Peak</th>
<th>PEAL in DNA film L (nm)</th>
<th>QEAL L&lt;sup&gt;0&lt;/sup&gt; (nm)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>C 1s</td>
<td>3.497</td>
<td>3.646</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>O 1s</td>
<td>2.884</td>
<td>3.035</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>N 1s</td>
<td>3.213</td>
<td>3.364</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P 2p&lt;sub&gt;3/2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.862</td>
<td>3.968</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>S 2p&lt;sub&gt;3/2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.793</td>
<td>3.900</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn 2p&lt;sub&gt;3/2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>1.600</td>
<td>1.726</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Au 4f&lt;sub&gt;7/2&lt;/sub&gt;</td>
<td>3.980</td>
<td>1.745</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Na 1s</td>
<td>1.616</td>
<td>1.460</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg 1s</td>
<td>0.773</td>
<td>0.928</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

PEALs and QEALs were calculated for duplex B-DNA using NIST SRD-82 software with the following parameters: experimental kinetic energy; asymmetry parameters $\beta$ for electrons Au 4f ($\beta=1.04$), $\beta=2$ for 1s peaks, $\beta=1.1$ for P 2p, $\beta=1.16$ for S 2p and $\beta=1.41$ for Zn 2p (Campbell et al., 2002); ideal stoichiometry of nucleotides in DNA; band-gap energy $E_g = 4.8$ e; film density of 0.731 g/cm<sup>3</sup>. The $E_g$ was calculated based on an average UV absorption peak of 258 nm for DNA in solution (Petrovykh et al., 2003). An initial estimate of film density was used to calculate the PEALs and QEALs which were then used for analysis of one data set using equation 4. The new value of density obtained from data analysis was then reentered into the NIST program to calculate revised PEALs and QEALs and the process was repeated until the density values converged self-consistently. The resultant PEAL and QEAL values are listed in the above table and were used to analyze the characteristics of the various monolayers. All EALs were calculated for a film thickness of 5 nm. As noted in ref (Petrovykh et al., 2003), the approximation of 5 nm in the PEAL and QEAL calculations will not introduce an appreciable systematic error into the analysis.
Table 3.4  Calculated Surface Characteristics of the DNA Film

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>DNA film thickness (nm)</th>
<th>$\rho_{\text{DNA}}$ g/cm$^3$</th>
<th>DNA coverage ($\times 10^{13}$ DNA/cm$^2$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-DNA</td>
<td>5.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>0.702 ± 0.056</td>
<td>1.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-DNA</td>
<td>5.6 ± 0.7</td>
<td>0.619 ± 0.018</td>
<td>1.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$ (pH 8.6)</td>
<td>6.0 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.898 ± 0.171</td>
<td>1.7 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$^{2+}$ (pH 7.0)</td>
<td>6.2 ± 1.6</td>
<td>0.733 ± 0.424</td>
<td>1.4 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
In order to better validate the accuracy of the XPS measurements, chronocoulometric measurements were done in order to determine the DNA surface coverage (Figure 3.13). Chronocoulometry was implemented in order to determine the quantity of DNA immobilized on the gold surface. The positively charged redox probe [Ru(NH$_3$)$_6$]$^{3+}$ was used as it is able to electrostatically interact with the negatively charged phosphate backbone of DNA. The amount of redox probe interacting with the DNA at the surface of the electrode can then be determined by chronocoulometry and used to calculate the amount of corresponding DNA (Steel et al., 1998). The electrochemical methods resulted in B-DNA coverage of $(1.2 \pm 0.1) \times 10^{13}$ molecules/cm$^2$ which agrees well with the calculated XPS results.

3.3.1.4 Sulfur (S 2p) Spectrum

Although the S 2p peak has a low signal-to-noise ratio and is somewhat difficult to observe, the sulfur signal is of interest as it provides more information regarding the immobilization of the thiolated DNA (Castner et al., 1996; Wackerbarth et al., 2004; Wood and Lee, 2005). The poor signal-to-noise ratio is due to the low relative concentration of sulfur atoms (the ideal S/P ratio is 1/60) and the strong attenuation of the signal caused by the overlaying DNA (Petrovykh et al., 2003). The S 2p spectra acquired for all SAMs had a doublet structure due to the presence of the S2p$_{3/2}$ and S 2p$_{1/2}$ peaks. All spectra were fit using a 2:1 peak ratio and showed a peak split of 1.2 eV (Figure 3.14). The S 2p$_{3/2}$ peak binding energy was 162.0 eV which is consistent with a thiolate S-Au bond (Castner et al., 1996). In particular, there was no detectable intensity in the binding energy region above 164 eV which is the region typical for an S 2p doublet for unbound thiol groups. This strongly suggests that there is a single layer of thiolated DNA and alkanethiols chemisorbed at the gold surface with virtually no physisorbed DNA present in the film.
Figure 3.13  Chronocoulometric response curves for DNA modified electrodes in the absence (●) and presence (○) of 100 µM [Ru(NH$_3$)$_6$]$^{3+}$. The lines represent the extrapolated fit from the linear portion of the curve and are used in order to determine the intercept at time=0.
Figure 3.14  High-resolution S 2p XP spectra for 30-basepair thiol-DNA immobilized on gold (open circles for raw data, solid lines for the total fits and dashed lines for the component peaks). Spectra were also taken after incubation with Zn(ClO₄)₂ and Mg(ClO₄)₂. The data was fit with two peaks as discussed in the text both having a FWHM of 0.98 ± 0.09.
3.3.2 DNA-Metal Ion Interaction

3.3.2.1 Carbon (C 1s) Spectrum

On addition of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} to form M-DNA, P 2p and S 2p showed only small changes most likely due to small differences in the characteristics of each monolayer. C 1s showed some changes and therefore a closer examination of the carbon species was conducted. As shown in figure 3.15a, carbon species are observed in the C 1s region of a bare gold electrode. There is one main peak observed at 284.1 eV which is indicative of alkyl chains or methyl species as well as smaller peaks at 285.5 and 288 eV which are due to the presence of surface contaminants containing C-O and C=O bonds respectively (Ishida et al., 1996; Ishida et al., 1997). However, for the DNA modified electrode, the fit included peaks at BE of 285, 286.6, 288 and 289.5 eV each with an FWHM of 1.5 eV corresponding to hydrocarbon (C-C/C-H), carbon bound to nitrogen and oxygen (C-N, C-O, N-C=O, O-C-N), amide carbon (N-C=O), and urea carbon [N-C(=O)-N] respectively (Figure 3.15b), (May et al., 2004). Therefore, figure 3.15b clearly shows a corresponding increase in peak intensities for DNA-related carbon peaks for species at 286.6, 288 and 289.5 eV. Also, there is a peak shift of approximately 0.9 eV to higher energy observed in the 284.1 eV C 1s peak when the bare gold electrode is covered with a DNA monolayer. This shift in energy is reflective of a decrease in Au-C interactions (Ishida et al., 1996). Both of these observations indicate that the influence of adventitious hydrocarbons on the SAM is small. This is expected, as it has previously been shown that the effect of carbon and oxygen contaminant layers on the gold surface becomes negligible in the case of long-term immobilization (Ishida et al., 1997).

The atomic percentages of each species on the monolayers were determined and are shown in Table 3.5. The small changes in the presence of Zn\textsuperscript{2+} and Mg\textsuperscript{2+} are only seen in the lower BE’s and are most likely due to differences in the DNA coverage as well as small inconsistencies in the hydrocarbon contamination.
**Figure 3.15** High-resolution C 1s XP spectra of (a) bare gold electrode; (b) 30-basepair thiol-DNA immobilized on gold (open circles for raw data, solid lines for the total fits and dashed lines for component peaks). The data was fit with four peaks, as discussed in the text all having FWHM of 1.38 ±0.05.
Table 3.5  Calculated Percentages For Each of the Fitted Components for the C1s High-Resolution XPS Data

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>DNA</th>
<th>C-C, C-H 285.0 eV (%)</th>
<th>C-N, C-O 286.6 eV (%)</th>
<th>O-C-N, N=C-N 288.0 eV (%)</th>
<th>N-C(=O)-N 289.5 eV (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>B-DNA</td>
<td>44 ± 8</td>
<td>40 ± 7</td>
<td>12 ± 1</td>
<td>4 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M-DNA</td>
<td>42 ± 5</td>
<td>42 ± 5</td>
<td>12 ± 2</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mg$^{2+}$ (pH 8.6)</td>
<td>35 ± 6</td>
<td>46 ± 7</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Zn$^{2+}$ (pH 7.0)</td>
<td>33 ± 1</td>
<td>48 ± 1</td>
<td>13 ± 1</td>
<td>6 ± 1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Therefore it can be concluded that Zn$^{2+}$ is unlikely to interact directly with either C or P and does not evidently effect the linkage between gold and sulfur; a result which is consistent with the M-DNA conformation.

3.3.2.2 Oxygen (O 1s) Spectrum

For O 1s with B-DNA, there is a peak at 533 eV with a shoulder at 532 eV again consistent with previous results for ssDNA (Figure 3.16). However, upon addition of Zn$^{2+}$ the peak broadens as the shoulder becomes much more prominent. Oxygen is present in DNA on the bases, the deoxyribose sugar and the phosphodiester backbone. Therefore, this result is very difficult to interpret since Zn$^{2+}$ can potentially bind to all three building blocks. However, since a similar result is seen in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$, it is most likely that the change in the oxygen spectra is caused from the ionic interaction between the metal ion and the oxygens on the phosphate backbone.

3.3.2.3 Nitrogen (N 1s) Spectrum

For N 1s the interpretation of spectral changes is likely to be simpler because nitrogen atoms are confined to the bases and previous work with homopolymer ssDNA has demonstrated the presence of a broad peak at about 400 eV (Petrovykh et al., 2003). The B-DNA duplexes also show these features but upon conversion to M-DNA the peak becomes much narrower. According to the N 1s spectra, it seems very unlikely that the bases in the duplex are interacting with the surface as there is no energy components observed at BE below 399.5 eV indicative of bases chemisorbed onto the gold surface (Petrovykh et al., 2003). This may be due, in part, to the presence of excess alkanethiol chains acting as spacers between the DNA molecules.
Figure 3.16 High-resolution O 1s XP spectra for 30-basepair thiol-DNA immobilized on gold (open circles for raw data, solid lines for the total fits and dashed lines for component peaks). Spectra were taken for (a) B-DNA and after incubation with (b) Zn(ClO₄)₂ and (c) Mg(ClO₄)₂. The data was fit with two peaks, as discussed in the text both having a FWHM of 1.55 ± 0.09.
As shown in figure 3.17, the N 1s spectrum for B-DNA was fit with three peaks at BEs of 399.5 eV, 400.8 eV and 402.0 eV corresponding to conjugated nitrogen (−N=), non-conjugated nitrogen (−NH– and N with three single bonds) and the (−NH₂) respectively (Mateo-Martí et al., 2005; Mateo-Martí et al., 2007). However, there is evidence that the third peak at 402.0 eV may be partially due to non-specific adsorption of the Tris buffer as a similar peak is observed when a bare gold electrode is incubated with only Tris buffer (Figure 3.18). Previous investigations have shown that this peak may be due to the protonated amine group in the Tris buffer (Strother et al., 2000) or alternatively from the amine group interacting with the gold substrate (Joseph et al., 2004). The protonation of the amine group does seem to play a role as the peak at 402 eV becomes more pronounced at lower pH values. As well, at pH 7.5 (Figure 3.19) the intensity of the peak at 402 eV decreases as the angle goes from 90° to 30° from the surface normal. This is also a strong indication that there is non-specific adsorption of Tris buffer at the surface and is not a result of amine groups on the bases throughout the monolayer. On the other hand, the M-DNA spectra shows one main peak with a BE of about 400.5 eV and much smaller peaks at 399.5 eV and 402.0 eV.

A similar trend is observed for N 1s upon conversion of a free-base porphyrin to the corresponding metalloporphyrins (Polzonetti, 1999; Sarno et al., 2001). For example, a typical free-base porphyrin has two peaks of roughly equal intensity at 399.2 and 397.2 eV corresponding to the non-conjugated and conjugated nitrogen respectively. Upon addition of Zn²⁺ the doublet collapses to one peak with an energy of 397.8 eV (Karweik and Winograd, 1976). In other words, the nitrogen in the metalloporphyrin becomes indistinguishable because of electronic coupling within the extended π system of the porphyrin ring. In M-DNA as well, the Zn²⁺ ion forms a bridge between the π system of each base so that all the nitrogen within a base pair exhibit a degree of electronic coupling. The change in overall peak shape can be seen in figure 3.17 as the nitrogen spectra for B-DNA goes from a broad doublet to M-DNA consisting of one sharp well-defined peak. Interestingly, the nitrogen spectra in the presence of Mg²⁺ is similar to that of B-DNA demonstrating that the Mg²⁺ is only interacting with the phosphate backbone.
**Figure 3.17** High-resolution N 1s XP spectra for 30-basepair thiol-DNA immobilized on gold (open circles for raw data, solid lines for the total fits and dashed lines for component peaks). Spectra were taken for (a) B-DNA and after incubation with (b) Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ and (c) Mg(ClO$_4$)$_2$. The data was fit with two peaks, as discussed in the text all having a FWHM of 1.59 ± 0.11.
Figure 3.18. High-resolution N 1s XP spectra for 20 mM TrisClO₄ (pH 8.5) immobilized on gold (open circles for raw data, solid lines for the total fits and dashed lines for component peaks).
Figure 3.19  High-resolution N 1s XP spectra for 30-basepair thiol-DNA immobilized on gold (open circles for raw data, solid lines for the total fits and dashed lines for component peaks). Spectra were taken after incubation with Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ at pH 7.5 at angles 90, 60, 45, 30 degrees from the surface normal.
3.3.2.4 Zinc (Zn 2p) Spectrum

The Zn 2p spectra is of interest as it is assumed that when M-DNA forms, the Zn$^{2+}$ ions replace the imino protons of T and G but also become weakly bound to the phosphates on the outside of the helix. In order to assess this hypothesis, Zn/P ratios were calculated using equation 3.2 and compared to trends observed in the survey spectrum for Na 1s. At pH 8.5, B-DNA shows no Zn 2p peak, but an intense peak for Na 1s at 1072.0 eV (Figure 3.20a). The Na/P ratio in figure 3.20a is 5.1 demonstrating a surface excess of sodium. However, in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ at pH 8.6 there is an intense peak observed at 1304.6 eV. Under these conditions, the Mg/P ratio is $0.6 \pm 0.05$. A decrease in the cation to phosphate ratio would be expected in going from a monovalent to a divalent cation. In both cases, a peak is also observed around 208 eV which is representative of the Cl$^{-}$ counterions also remaining on the surface. However, after the addition of 0.4-mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ to the monolayer for 2h at pH 8.5 and thorough washing with buffer containing Zn$^{2+}$ an intense peak with a BE of about 1022.0 eV is now present but the Na 1s peak at 1072.0 was undetectable (Figure 3.20b). Under these conditions, the Zn/P ratio was $1.7 \pm 0.6$. Again, the decreased ratio compared to Na/P is expected from a monovalent to a divalent cation. Although there is a large error, the average value corresponds well to zinc binding each phosphate as well as replacing the imino proton inside the helix at every base pair. When this experiment is repeated under the same conditions, except the monolayer is washed with buffer containing only Na$^+$ and no Zn$^{2+}$, peaks are evident at both 1022.0 eV and 1072.0 eV (Figure 3.20c). The Zn/P ratio was $0.44 \pm 0.11$ meaning there is now approximately one zinc for every base pair. This ratio correlates well to M-DNA formation where only the imino protons of thymine and guanine are being replaced by zinc. However, under the same conditions with Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 7.0, there is virtually no zinc left in the monolayer with a Zn/P ratio of 0.07. Therefore, under non M-DNA conditions it is very easy to wash off all of the zinc ions. The Zn/Na and Na/P ratios in figure 3.20c were 0.22 and 2.0 respectively, indicating that the negative charge on the phosphate backbone is neutralized by the saturation of Na$^+$ ions present whereas the Zn$^{2+}$ ions are interacting inside the helix.
Figure 3.20  Survey scan of the Zn 2p and Na 1s region with corresponding schematic illustrating the location of the respective ions under various washing conditions; (a) Immobilized B-DNA was incubated and washed in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ (pH 8.5), 20 mM NaClO$_4$ buffer.  (b) Immobilized B-DNA was converted to M-DNA by incubating for 2 hrs in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ (pH 8.5), 0.4 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$, washed with 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ (pH 8.5), 0.4 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$, dried and measured.  (c) Immobilized B-DNA was converted to M-DNA by incubating for 2 hrs in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ (pH 8.5), 0.4 mM ZnClO$_4$, washed with 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$, 20 mM NaClO$_4$ containing no ZnClO$_4$, dried and measured.
Under these conditions, the O 1s spectrum resembles that of B-DNA shown in figure 3.16 and the N 1s spectrum resembles that of M-DNA shown in figure 3.17. This is expected since the conversion from M-DNA back to B-DNA is slow (Wood et al., 2002; Wood and Lee, 2005), so that during the washing procedure the Zn$^{2+}$ ions are removed from the outside of the helix and replaced with Na$^+$ resulting in an O 1s spectrum similar to B-DNA. However, the zinc ions interacting with the imino nitrogen inside the helix are not removed resulting in an N 1s spectrum similar to M-DNA. This effect would result in a Zn/P ratio of approximately 0.5 which is observed.

3.3.3 Variable angle XPS

Variable angle x-ray photoelectron spectroscopy (VAXPS) was also used to further investigate the distribution of zinc within the self-assembled monolayer. Oligonucleotides containing the Mx-30a sequence were self-assembled onto gold through a disulfide linkage. The identical but reverse sequence, Mx-30b, in which the d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) portion was situated next to the sulfur linkage was also analyzed. The surface was probed at take-off angles 90, 70, 45 and 30 degrees from surface. According to previous result, the d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) will incorporate metal ions at a much lower pH than the d(AT) tract illustrated in figure 3.21. As seen in figure 3.22, at pH 7.0 when the d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) tract is situated at the top of the monolayer the Zn/P ratio increases as the take-off angle decreases. Conversely, if the d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) tract is located at the bottom of the monolayer, the Zn/P ratio decrease along with the take-off angle. Also of interest are the control experiments which clearly show that at pH 6.5 the wash easily removes zinc bound to the phosphate backbone leaving very little zinc remaining as the pH is too low to have formed M-DNA. As well, at pH 8.5 at which the entire oligomer should be converted to M-DNA, the Zn/P ratio approaches 0.5 which is a good indication that there is one zinc residue for every base-pair. As well, Zn/P ratios in figure 3.22 are greater for Mx-30a compared to Mx-30b for all pH values. This may be a due to Zn$^{2+}$ ions having easier access to the d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) tract when it is situated at the top of the monolayer compared to when it is unexposed at the bottom.
Figure 3.21 Schematic of Zn$^{2+}$ ions situated only at specific regions corresponding to the sequence and the pH.
Figure 3.22 Relative Zn/P ratios of Mx-30a (■) and Mx30b (●) at angles 90, 70, 45 and 30 degrees relative to the surface at various pH values.
Unfortunately, the values are subject to an estimated error of at least 10%, which is mainly caused by the uncertainty of the peak area and the error of the inelastic background correction (Tougaard and Sigmund, 1982). Therefore, the change in Zn/P ratio is not great enough to warrant a firm conclusion. Although, repeated measurements showed similar trends for Zn/P ratios at 90° to the surface, the changes observed at the various other angles were unpredictable. This was most likely a result of inconsistencies in the DNA monolayer coverage and morphology. Although this technique shows promise and illustrates very interesting trends, modification to the existing assay will have to be made in order to gain useful data.

3.4 Electrochemical Investigations

Electrochemical DNA biosensors based on nucleic acid hybridization are being developed for the diagnosis of genetic and infectious diseases (Boon et al., 2000; Drummond et al., 2003). They have gained tremendous popularity because of their high sensitivity and selectivity, their simplicity to operate, the low cost, ease of fabrication and their ability to be miniaturized in order to increase portability. There have been numerous approaches developed for the electrochemical detection of DNA hybridization (Drummond et al., 2003; de-los-Santos-Alvarez et al., 2004; Kerman et al., 2004). Some common methods have utilized DNA probes with covalently attached enzymes (Campbell et al., 2002) or redox-active molecules (Ihara et al., 1996; Kelley et al., 1999). As well, various procedures have exploited nanoparticle-modified oligonucleotides (Wang et al., 2001a; Wang et al., 2001b; Palecek et al., 2002). Other strategies employ electrochemically active intercalators such as daunomycin (Wang et al., 1998), metal complexes such as ruthenium bipyridine (Napier et al., 1997) and organic dyes such as methylene blue (Ozkan et al., 2002) all of which have the obvious advantage of not requiring a labeling procedure. The electron transfer properties of the monolayer are then interrogated by CV, CA or EIS, (Hartwich, 1999; Kelley et al., 1999; Long et al., 2004). Among the electrochemical techniques used, EIS has been proven to be an effective and sensitive method (Katz, 2003).
Previously, a label-free method able to detect single DNA base pair mismatches at concentrations as low as 100 pM had been reported (Long et al., 2003; Wettig et al., 2003b; Long et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006). Briefly, the unlabelled single-stranded probe DNA is attached to a gold working electrode through a thiol linkage and the target, also unlabelled, is hybridized to it. The impedance is measured for B-DNA and for M-DNA which is formed after incubation with Zn$^{2+}$ at pH $\geq 8.5$ (Lee et al., 1993; Aich et al., 1999). M-DNA monolayers have decreased $R_{CT}$ compared to B-DNA but the presence of a mismatch increases the $R_{CT}$ for M-DNA whilst decreasing the $R_{CT}$ for B-DNA. Thus, the difference in impedance before and after formation of M-DNA allows for the unequivocal detection of a mismatch within a synthetic DNA 20mer. However, there are a few fundamental problems with this procedure that need to be addressed. First and foremost is the delicacy of this protocol in the presence of metal ions. If the pH is too high, the DNA may denature or the metal ion may precipitate. If the pH is too low then M-DNA will not form. To complicate matters further, the addition of zinc salt to the [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{4-}$ may cause the formation of zinc ferrocyanides which will precipitate out of the solution potentially causing numerous inconsistencies in results (Miller and Falk, 1904; Cheng, 1955; Riveros et al., 1996). As well, [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-}$ cannot be kept as aqueous stock solutions because potassium ferricyanide decomposes slowly on standing. In order to address these and other problems, a new redox probe was tested that has longer storage times, less preparatory time, faster analysis and increased reliability especially in the presence of metal ions such as Zn$^{2+}$. In the following section, the effectiveness of IrCl$_6^{2-/3-}$ as a redox couple is demonstrated as well as the advantages associated with this system in comparison to the [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$ reported previously (Li et al., 2003; Long et al., 2003; Long et al., 2004).

3.4.1 Effect of Metal Ions on Electron Kinetics

In order to investigate the electron properties of M-DNA both cyclic voltammetry and electrochemical impedance spectroscopy were used. Previous work took advantage of the commonly used negatively charged redox couple [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$. This probe has been very successful in looking at DNA monolayers as the negative
charge is sufficiently repelled from the monolayer and therefore the electron must flow from the probe through the monolayer to the gold surface. However, as mentioned above, this probe shows some limitations when investigating M-DNA. Therefore, various alternative redox probes such as Ferrocenecarboxaldehyde and 1,1’-Ferrocenedicarboxylic acid were tried. However, in both cases, the probes were able to penetrate into the monolayer causing the signal transduction through the monolayer to proceed virtually unimpeded. Finally, the anionic probe IrCl$_6^{2-/3-}$ was also tested and proved to be quite effective in investigating DNA monolayers. Therefore, the following work reconfirms the electronic properties of M-DNA previously shown using [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$ as a redox couple (Li et al., 2003; Long et al., 2003; Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006) and for the first time demonstrates the correlation of incremental pH changes on M-DNA formation using electrochemistry. As well, the potential advantageous of using the IrCl$_6^{2-/3-}$ redox couple over standard [Fe(CN)$_6$]$^{3-/4-}$ system will be highlighted.

3.4.2 Cyclic Voltammetry

Duplex DNA, 30 base pairs in length, was assembled onto the gold surface as described in Materials and Methods and the resultant monolayer was examined electrochemically using 2 mM IrCl$_6^{2-}$. IrCl$_6^{2-}$ undergoes spontaneous reduction to IrCl$_6^{3-}$ making an effective redox couple \textit{in situ}. Even though the concentration of reduced species is variable, there is no significant change in the formal potential over the time course of one measurement. In order to achieve greater consistency, fresh solution was used for each measurement.

From the CV plot shown in figure 3.23, it can be seen that for a bare electrode immersed in 2 mM IrCl$_6^{2-}$ in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ at pH 8.6, a characteristic reversible redox cycle with anodic and cathodic peak currents of approximately 8 $\mu$A and a peak separation of 85 mV is seen. However, once DNA is immobilized on the surface, the peak current drops and the separation between the oxidation and reduction peaks increased considerably indicating the reduced ability for electron transfer between the solution and the surface caused by blocking of the gold surface by the DNA monolayer.
Figure 3.23  Cyclic Voltammograms of (a) bare gold electrode, (b) ds-DNA modified electrode, (c) ds-DNA monolayer in the presence of 0.4 mM Zn\(^{2+}\) at pH 8.6 and (d) ds-DNA monolayer in the presence of 0.4 mM Mg\(^{2+}\) at pH 8.6. The concentration of hexachloroiridate (IV) was 2 mM in 20 mM TrisClO\(_4\) (pH 8.6). All CVs were recorded at a sweep rate of 100 mV/s.
Upon the exposure of the monolayer to 0.4 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ for only 2 minutes, the peak currents reach approximately 7 µA with a separation of 152 mV indicating electron transfer through the monolayer is only restricted slightly under M-DNA conditions. There were no changes in peak current or separation with longer incubation times. Similarly, impedance values remained constant after two minutes incubation (see below). One explanation for this result is that the addition of Zn$^{2+}$ causes a reorientation of DNA molecules on the surface. This may allow the redox probe easier access to pin holes or other defect sites. However, the shape of the cyclic voltammogram is characteristic of linear diffusion and the anodic peak current increases with the square root of the scan rate in the range of 25 to 250 mV (Figure 3.24). Both of these characteristics suggest that the electrochemical reaction is primarily controlled by linear diffusion and not by pinholes or small defects (Chailapakul and Crooks, 1993). Alternatively, the Zn$^{2+}$ is able to coordinate with the negatively charged phosphate backbone effectively reducing the electrostatic repulsion and facilitating the penetration of the monolayer by the negatively charged redox probe. Presumably then, the exposure of the monolayer to Mg$^{2+}$ would give a similar result. However, the CV plot decreases only slightly compared to native DNA indicating very slow electron transfer between the solution and the surface (Figure 3.23). Therefore, the much faster electron transfer implies that M-DNA is a better conductor than B-DNA. Finally, exposing the monolayer to 10 mM EDTA in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ with 100 mM NaClO$_4$ at pH 7.1 converts the signal back to native DNA. This result demonstrates that the decrease in signal is not a result of denaturation or destruction of the monolayer (Figure 3.25). As well, the return of the original signal after the addition of EDTA further confirms that the formal potential remains constant even after repeated measurements.

In order to investigate the electron-transfer kinetics, the CV plots for a bare gold electrode and M-DNA modified electrode were fitted using DigiSim version 3.0 from BASi and compared. In figure 3.26, both CV plots are shown with the background current subtracted together with the simulated CV plots. In 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ at a scan rate of 100 mV/s, a $\Delta E_p$ of 85 mV was measured for the IrCl$_6^{2-/3-}$ redox couple at a bare electrode and a $\Delta E_p$ of 152 mV for the M-DNA modified electrode.
Figure 3.24 Plot of anodic peak current (Ipa) vs. the square root of the scan rate. The cyclic voltammograms were obtained using an M-DNA modified electrode in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ buffer (pH 8.6) in the presence of 2 mM IrCl$_6^{2+/3-}$. 
Figure 3.25  Cyclic Voltammograms of an M-DNA modified electrode (—) and the electrode after incubation in 10 mM EDTA in 20 mM TrisClO$_4$, 100 mM NaClO$_4$ at pH 7.1 (-----). All measurements were performed in 2 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM TrisClO$_4$ (pH 8.6) at a sweep rate of 100 mV/s.
Figure 3.26 Cyclic voltammograms (---) in 2 mM IrCl$_6^{2+/3-}$ in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ buffer solution (pH 8.6) at (A) bare gold electrode and (B) a M-DNA modified gold electrode together with simulated data (—). Scan rate used was 100 mV/s. Digital simulations were made using the $k_{ET}$, $E_0$, and $\alpha$ (transfer coefficient) values of (A) 5.7 x 10$^{-3}$ cm/s, 0.69 V vs. Ag/AgCl/3 M NaCl, and 0.5 and (B) 1.5 x 10$^{-3}$ cm/s, 0.69 V vs. Ag/AgCl/3 M NaCl and 0.5. A diffusion coefficients of 8.9 x 10$^{-6}$ cm$^2$/s was used for both $D_{ox}$ and $D_{red}$ in (A) and (B). Both CVs have the background current subtracted.
Under these conditions, the electron-transfer rate was $5.7 \times 10^{-3}$ cm/s and $1.5 \times 10^{-3}$ cm/s respectively. In the case of a regular dsDNA monolayer, the electron-transfer rate was too small to be measure effectively and therefore must be orders of magnitude smaller.

### 3.4.3 Electrochemical Impedance Spectroscopy

To further investigate the properties of M-DNA monolayers, EIS was employed. Impedance spectroscopy is advantageous because a model based on electronic components can be used to represent the electrochemical system (Figure 3.27 inset). The impedance of an electrode undergoing heterogeneous electron transfer through a self-assembled monolayer can be described on the basis of the model developed by (Randles, 1947). The circuit components in the Randles cell can easily be compared with familiar physical phenomena. The solution resistance term, $R_s$, represents the resistance of the solution between the working (gold electrode) and reference (Ag/AgCl) electrode. The charge transfer resistance term, $R_{CT}$, results from the transfer of electrons from the redox probe to the DNA monolayer, through the base pairs of the DNA helix and from the helix to the surface of the gold electrode. A constant phase element (CPE) will act as a non-ideal capacitor in order to account for inconsistency on the electrode surface (Dijksma et al., 2002). Finally, a Warburg impedance element, $W$, is dependent on the rate of diffusion of the redox probe (Long et al., 2004). The data are shown as Nyquist plots where the impedance values are a result of the resistance and capacitance measured at various frequencies. The data is presented as the real ($Z_{re}$), versus the imaginary ($-Z_{im}$) components. The Nyquist plot for a bare electrode (data not shown) consists of a semicircular region lying on the $Z_{re}$ axis followed by a straight line. The semicircle portion, measured at higher frequencies, corresponds to direct electron transfer, whereas the straight linear portion, observed at lower frequencies, represents the diffusion-controlled electron transfer process known as Warburg impedance (Long et al., 2003; Wettig et al., 2003b). For a clean bare electrode, the Warburg impedance will appear as a line with a slope of approximately 0.5 on a Nyquist plot (Glarum and Marshall, 1982).
Figure 3.27 Nyquist plots ($Z_{im}$ vs $Z_{re}$) of a 30 base pair-complementary DNA performed in 1 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) redox probe in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$ at pH 8.6. Measurements were done in a three-electrode cell using an applied potential of 690 mV vs. Ag/AgCl. In all cases the measured data points are shown as symbols with the calculated fit to the equivalent circuit as a solid line. (▼) 30 basepair duplex B-DNA, (■) after incubation in 0.4 mM Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 6.0, (▲) after incubation in 0.4 mM Mg$^{2+}$ at pH 8.6, and (●) after incubation in 0.4 mM Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 8.6. Inset: The experimental data were fit to the Randles equivalent circuit which consists of the solution resistance through the redox probe ($R_S$), the charge transfer resistance through the DNA ($R_{CT}$), the constant phase element ($CPE$) and the Warburg constant ($W$).
As expected, the resistance for M-DNA is much lower than its corresponding B-DNA construct illustrating that electron transfer via M-DNA is much faster than that of the native DNA. Figure 3.27 shows the Nyquist plots when the DNA monolayer is exposed to Zn\(^{2+}\) at pH 6.0 or to Mg\(^{2+}\) at pH 8.6, conditions under which M-DNA does not form. Consistent with the CV experiments, only small changes in the charge transfer are seen. These changes are most likely a result of reducing the charge repulsion of the DNA monolayer by the metal ions binding to the negatively charged phosphate backbone.

As shown in Table 3.6 for the various films, a monolayer composed of B-DNA has a \(R_{CT}\) of 416 ± 64 kΩ compared to M-DNA which has a \(R_{CT}\) of 91 ± 56 kΩ. Both monolayers in the presence of Zn\(^{2+}\) at pH 6.0 and Mg\(^{2+}\) at pH 8.6 show much smaller decreases in \(R_{CT}\) of 335 ± 60 and 298 ± 64 kΩ respectively. In all cases, M-DNA is reproducibly converted back to B-DNA and original \(R_{CT}\) values are obtained by the incubation of the monolayer in 10 mM EDTA.

The change in \(R_{CT}\) between B-DNA and M-DNA monolayers was calculated as \(\%\Delta R_{CT}\) as different electrode morphologies can yield different impedance values, but the percent changes between B- and M-DNA are more reproducible. As illustrated in figure 3.28, as the pH increases the percent change between B- and M-DNA becomes larger until it reaches a maximum of 70% above pH 8.0. Conversely, the monolayer exposed to Mg\(^{2+}\) shows no dependence on the pH of the solution. Therefore, the large change at increased pH values for M-DNA is indicative of zinc ions replacing the imino proton on thymine and guanine residues.
TABLE 3.6  Impedance Data as a Function of pH and Metal Ion$^a$

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Circuit element</th>
<th>B-DNA pH 8.6</th>
<th>M-DNA pH 8.6</th>
<th>DNA incubated with Zn$^{2+}$ pH 6.0</th>
<th>DNA incubated with Mg$^{2+}$ pH 8.6</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$R_s / k\Omega$</td>
<td>4.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.2 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.3 (0.3)</td>
<td>4.1 (0.2)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CPE / $\mu F$</td>
<td>1.02 (0.16)</td>
<td>1.09 (0.36)</td>
<td>1.03 (0.16)</td>
<td>1.22 (0.06)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$n$</td>
<td>0.87 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.85 (0.02)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.01)</td>
<td>0.88 (0.01)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$R_{CT} / k\Omega$</td>
<td>416 (64)</td>
<td>91 (56)</td>
<td>335 (60)</td>
<td>298 (64)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$W / 10^5 \Omega s^{-1/2}$</td>
<td>4.1 (0.9)</td>
<td>5.6 (1.0)</td>
<td>5.0 (1.1)</td>
<td>5.1 (0.8)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$The values in parentheses represent the standard deviation from at least 5 electrodes.
Figure 3.28 Determination of pH dependency on M-DNA formation. Each point is calculated as the change in $R_{CT}$ between DNA monolayers which were incubated in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ (●) or Mg$^{2+}$ (■) at pH 6.0 and each corresponding pH. Error bars represent the standard deviation and are derived from a minimum of three different electrodes. All measurements were performed in freshly prepared 1 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$. 
3.4.3.1 Dehybridization-Rehybridization

In order to assess the ability to detect hybridization, the dsDNA was denatured and then rehybridized with target strand. A schematic of the experimental procedure is outlined in figure 3.29a. As seen from the Nyquist plots in figure 3.29b, the $R_{CT}$ decreases by approximately $46 \pm 10\%$ after denaturation compared to the original dsDNA monolayer. The decrease in $R_{CT}$ seen in the impedance plots is assumed to be correlated with the degree of denaturation. Although the impedance signal does not return to the values for a perfect dsDNA monolayer, the increase is reflective of rehybridization efficiencies of approximately $87.9 \pm 4.0\%$.

3.4.3.2 Effect of Site-Specific Metal Ions on Resistance through M-DNA

As previously mentioned in section 3.2.3.2 oligomers 30 base-pairs in length where designed with $50\%$ d(AT) and the other $50\%$ d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) in which three terminal thymines on the d(TG)$\cdot$d(CA) track where replaced with 4-thiothymines (Table 2.2). As shown in figure 3.9, this sequence begins to form M-DNA at a lower pH compared to d(TG)$_{15}$$\cdot$d(CA)$_{15}$. Therefore, DNA containing the above sequences was immobilized onto gold electrodes and the resistance through the DNA was measured at various pH values in the absence and presence of Zn$^{2+}$. As shown in figure 3.30, the $\%\Delta R_{CT}$ for d(TG)$_{15}$$\cdot$d(CA)$_{15}$ is the largest at low pH values. A possible explanation is that the partial M-DNA formation in the thiolated region of both Mx(s$^4$T)-30a or Mx(s$^4$T)-30b is not sufficient to allow fast electron transfer through the entire monolayer. However, the errors in the results obtained are substantial and definitive conclusion cannot be made at this time. The large error was originally thought to be connected with the initial resistance of the B-DNA monolayer as a possible correlation may be seen in figure 3.31 showing $\%\Delta R_{CT}$ of both Mx(s$^4$T)-30a and Mx(s$^4$T)-30b at pH 7.4 vs. their initial $R_{CT}$. Surprisingly, even for reproducible monolayers that have an initial $R_{CT}$ within $\pm 50$ k$\Omega$ of each other, there are different $\%\Delta R_{CT}$ (Figure 3.31 shaded area). Therefore, most likely a combination of surface coverage, density, thickness and surface morphology all lead to the inconsistency of the results.
Figure 3.29 Dehybridization-Rehybridization experimental procedure. i) Monolayer was exposed to 10 mM NaOH solution at 65 °C for 10 minutes, rinsed with Millipore water and soaked for an additional 10 minutes. ii) Monolayer was exposed to 100 µM target strand in 20 mM Tris-ClO₄, 100 mM NaClO₄ (pH 7.1) for 3 hours. (b) Nyquist plot of fully hybridized monolayer (□), ssDNA monolayer after denaturation procedure (○) and a rehybridized dsDNA monolayer (■).
Figure 3.30 Determination of pH dependency on the M-DNA formation of TG-30 (▲); Mx-30a (●); and Mx-30b (■). Each point is calculated as the change in $R_{CT}$ between DNA monolayers which were incubated in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 6.0 and each corresponding pH. Error bars represent the standard deviation and are derived from a minimum of three different electrodes. All measurements were performed in freshly prepared 1 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM Tris-ClO$_4$. 

\[ %\Delta R_{CT} \]
Figure 3.31 The change in $R_{CT}$ between DNA monolayers which were incubated in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 6.0 and pH 7.4 for Mx-30a (○) and Mx-30b (■). The red line shows a linear fit for all data points.
3.4.4 Chronocoulometry

A comparison of the charge passed at the various modified electrodes is shown in figure 3.32. The experiment begins at an initial potential of 900 mV vs. Ag/AgCl, where no electrolysis of IrCl$_6^{2-}$ can occur. The potential is then stepped to 500 mV, at which essentially all of the IrCl$_6^{2-}$ is reduced to the IrCl$_5^{3-}$. As one can see from figure 3.32, the amount of charge passed through the M-DNA modified electrode is significantly larger than that on the dsDNA modified electrode. As is also evident, when the dsDNA modified electrode is incubated in the presence of Mg$^{2+}$ which presumably only occupies the negatively charged phosphate backbone, the charge increases slightly compared to that of regular dsDNA. A similar trend is seen when the monolayer is incubated in Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 6.0. However, when the monolayer is incubated at pH 8.6 in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ there is a considerable increase in charge which can be attributed to electron transfer through the M-DNA monolayer.
Figure 3.32 Chronocoulometric transients at 500 mV of 2 mM hexachloroiridate (IV) in 20 mM tris-ClO$_4$ (pH 8.6) at (a) a ds-DNA modified electrode, (b) a ds-DNA modified electrode incubated in the presence of 0.4 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ at pH 6.0 (c) a ds-DNA modified electrode incubated in the presence of 0.4 mM MgClO$_4$ and (d) a ds-DNA modified electrode incubated in the presence of 0.4 mM Zn(ClO$_4$)$_2$ at pH 8.6.
4.0 DISCUSSION

4.1 Evidence Confirming the Proposed Model for M-DNA

As discussed in section 1.3.1 of the introduction, there have been numerous studies that have lead to the proposed structure of M-DNA in which the metal ion replaces the imino proton on both thymine and guanine (Lee et al., 1993; Aich et al., 1999; Wood et al., 2002; Wood and Lee, 2005). However, without a solved crystal structure, there still remains skepticism as to the exact location of the metal ion. The results in this thesis strengthen the proposed M-DNA model and clearly show that M-DNA is distinct from denatured DNA.

4.1.1 Thermal Denaturation Profiles

Previously, it has been shown that transition metals which interact with the bases are able to cause thermal destabilization and denaturation of the DNA helix (Eichhorn and Shin, 1968). Also, an increase in pH has been shown to cause a similar effect (Ageno et al., 1969). However, as shown in figure 3.6a in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$, the $T_m$ of poly[d(AT)] actually increases as the pH value is raised to 9.0. First, the increase in thermostability caused by Zn$^{2+}$ at pH 9.0 is even greater than that caused by Mg$^{2+}$. Therefore, Zn$^{2+}$ is doing much more than simply binding to the negatively charged backbone of the DNA. A simple explanation for the increase in $T_m$ is the conversion to a new conformation. Second, unlike Mg$^{2+}$ which increases the $T_m$ approximately 10 °C at all pH values, the thermostability caused by the addition of Zn$^{2+}$ is pH dependent. This result also correlates very well with the formation of M-DNA as the replacement of the imino proton with a metal ion would be more favorable at higher
pH. Finally, it is clear that the DNA is not becoming denatured since the $T_m$ increases in the presence of Zn$^{2+}$ at high pH.

It has been shown that the lower the $pK_a$ of the imino proton of the base, the lower the pH at which M-DNA will form (Wood et al., 2002). For example, the incorporation of 5FU stabilizes M-DNA at a lower pH because the $pK_a$ of 5FU is about two pH units lower than that of T. Similarly, as shown in figure 3.7c, the incorporation of $s^4T$ into poly[d(TG)•(CA)] causes the $T_m$ to increase at an even lower pH compared to native poly[d(TG)•(CA)]. This result is consistent with the above hypothesis as $s^4T$ has a lower $pK_a$ compared to the native T. Again, this suggests that the metal ion is indeed replacing the imino proton of the base.

The melting profile of $\lambda$-496 shown in figure 3.1 was also interesting. Under normal conditions, $\lambda$-496 has three different melting transitions corresponding to AT rich regions, mixed regions and GC rich regions. Although the addition of Mg$^{2+}$ increases the $T_m$ at all pH values, there still remains three separate melting transitions. However, the addition of Zn$^{2+}$ causes one single melting transition. One possible explanation is that when the Zn$^{2+}$ replaces the imino proton the $\Delta G$ of base-pair formation becomes similar so that there are no longer separate melting transitions seen for GC and AT rich regions.

### 4.1.2 Ethidium Bromide Assay

Formation of M-DNA was also measured by the EtBr assay. One explanation for the decrease in ethidium fluorescence upon M-DNA formation is the denaturation of the DNA. However, following M-DNA formation, there is rapid restoration to B-DNA upon the addition of EDTA to the ethidium fluorescence buffer. Since the concentration of DNA and the ionic strength are both low, rehybridization is very slow (Morgan et al., 1979). Therefore, this result cannot be explained by denaturation. A better explanation for the inability of ethidium to intercalate between the base-pairs is explained by the charge repulsion caused from the Zn$^{2+}$ replacing the imino proton. A similar result is seen for triplexes containing CG•C$^+$ (Morgan et al., 1979; Lee et al., 1984; Scaria and Shafer, 1991). The substitution of both $s^4T$ and $s^5T$ into poly[d(AT)]
lowered the pH at which M-DNA formation occurred (Figure 3.7a). This result was not surprising as both analogues have lower pKₐ values than T and transition metals bind well to sulfur-containing functional groups. Similarly, when the analogue s⁴T was incorporated into poly[d(TG)•(CA)], the pH of M-DNA formation was again lowered (Figure 3.7b). However, the incorporation of s²T resulted in an increase in the pH needed for the conversion to M-DNA. This result may be due to the location of the sulfur atom hindering the binding of the metal ion. Alternatively, poly[d(AT)] may simply be refractory to forming M-DNA and therefore under this sequence context, the unusual base pair aids in M-DNA formation.

### 4.1.3 UV Absorption Spectrum

Also supporting the location of the metal ion is the absorption spectrum of poly[d(As⁴T)] in the presence of Zn²⁺. As summarized in figure 3.4 the absorbance peak at 345 nm, which is a result of the incorporation of s⁴T, shifts in the presence of Zn²⁺ at pH 8.5. This alone suggests that the metal ion is intimately involved with the sulfur atom which would be the case if the metal ion was replacing the imino proton. Interestingly, this result is not seen with Zn²⁺ at pH 7.5 or with Mg²⁺ at either pH 7.5 or 8.5. Further evidence indicating the metal ion is involved with the hydrogen bonding is that the shift is also seen after denaturation indicating the metal ions now have access to the base. It is important to note that the shift is seen under M-DNA conditions in which the DNA is not denatured as evident from the peak at 260 nm.

### 4.1.4 X-ray Photoelectron Spectroscopy

The XPS results show direct interaction of Zn²⁺ with nitrogen. More importantly, they strongly suggest that the metal ion is replacing the imino proton on thymine and guanine rather than other alternative binding locations that have been suggested. For instance, x-ray crystallography has demonstrated that Zn²⁺ is able to bind to the N7 of guanine under M-DNA conditions (Labiuk et al., 2003). However, previous investigation using the EtBr assay has shown that this position is not important.
for M-DNA formation as z^7_A substituted DNA is still able to adopt the M-DNA conformation (Lee et al., 1993). Another possible binding location for the metal ion is on the ring amine groups. However, this position is unlikely as ring amine groups are not good ligands for transition metals (Martin, 1979). As shown in figure 3.17a, there are two main peaks observed in N 1s spectra for B-DNA. Interestingly, these two peaks collapse into a single sharp peak under M-DNA conditions. These results are very similar to those seen with metal porphoryins which closely resembles the proposed M-DNA model. Finally, Zn/P ratios reaffirm that under M-DNA conditions, there are approximately 3 zinc residues for every base pair which corresponds well with zinc interacting with the phosphate backbone as well as the imino nitrogen. After washing with buffer containing no Zn^{2+}, the zinc residues interacting with the phosphate backbone are easily removed while only the zinc bound to the imino nitrogen remains.

Previously, it has been shown that ssDNA is able to interact with a gold surface through the base nitrogens (Petrovykh et al., 2003). This will result in an observed signal below 399 eV. However, there is no energy peak observed under 399 eV in the nitrogen spectrum indicating the presence of very little if any ssDNA on the electrode surface.

### 4.1.5 Electrochemistry

The electrochemical results show that the electron transfer kinetics is much faster for M-DNA compared to B-DNA (Rakitin et al., 2001; Aich et al., 2002; Li et al., 2003). Other studies have suggested that the enhanced electron rate observed for M-DNA monolayers on gold is simply a result of increased penetration of the redox probe Fe(CN)_6^{3/4+} caused from the binding of divalent metal ions (Liu et al., 2005). Although, this is certainly a contributing factor, this work clearly shows that this same effect is not seen with Mg^{2+} or Na^+ under similar conditions or with Zn^{2+} at pH 6.5. This indicates that the transfer rate is caused from something other than cations associated with the phosphate backbone. As well, it has been shown theoretically that increased conductivity is attributed to M-DNA (Nokhrin et al., 2007). As well, the electrochemical impedance results show that the decrease in resistance seen with M-
DNA is dependent on pH (Figure 3.27). Again, the pH dependency supports the proposal that the imino proton is being replaced with the metal ion.

As shown in figure 3.25 M-DNA can be converted back to B-DNA through the addition of EDTA. The same effect can also be seen in the impedance plots. Such results would not be attainable if the DNA was being denatured because the strand would be removed from the monolayer during rinsing or repeated measurements as there is no sulfur linker to keep it attached to the gold surface. Therefore, the conversion back to B-DNA with EDTA shows that the DNA is remaining as dsDNA even in the presence of Zn\(^{2+}\).

4.2 Applications in Biosensing

4.2.1 Characterization of B-DNA and M-DNA Monolayers on Gold

The attachment of DNA to a solid support is widely used in biosensing applications. Historically, the first example was the detection of DNA-binding antibodies in Enzyme-Linked ImmunoSorbent Assays with the DNA being bound nonspecifically to plastic wells (Kemeny, 1997; Price, 1997). In this case, the characteristics of the surface are not a major concern as long as some of the DNA is available for antibody binding. More recently, several types of fluorescence-based assays have been developed to detect DNA and RNA hybridization. In most formats which can be extended to an array, the probe sequence is attached to a surface through a biotin/avidin or gold/thiol linkage and the target sequence is labeled with a fluorescent dye (Schulze and Downward, 2001). The fluorescence intensity provides a measure of the target sequence concentration. For these assays, the characteristics of the DNA bound to the surface are much more important; not only must the concentration of probe DNA be consistent from one array spot to the next but also it must be reproducibly available for hybridization to the target. In particular if these assays are being used to detect sequence variations (mismatches or Single Nucleotide Polymorphisms, SNPs) then hybridization efficiencies become crucial.
Biosensors have also been designed to detect hybridization and single nucleotide polymorphisms (SNPs) by electrochemical methods (Boon et al., 2000; Drummond et al., 2003). In these techniques, a probe DNA monolayer is self-assembled on a gold electrode and hybridized to an unlabelled target DNA in the same way as for the fluorescence assays. The electron transfer properties of the monolayer are then interrogated by cyclic voltammetry, chronoamperometry or impedance spectroscopy (IS), (Hartwich, 1999; Kelley et al., 1999; Long et al., 2004; Li et al., 2005; Li et al., 2006). The rate of electron transfer is dependent on the degree of hybridization as well as the presence of a mismatch. Electrochemical detection has the potential advantages of direct electrical readout, an unlabelled target, and detection of SNPs without relying on differential hybridization.

However, reproducible surface characteristics of the monolayer are critical since small variations can lead to large changes in electron transfer rates. The majority of reports regarding the immobilization of nucleic acids on a gold surface have been on the assembly of thiol-terminated single-stranded DNA followed by hybridization (Herne and Tarlov, 1997; Peterlinz et al., 1997; Levicky et al., 1998; Moses et al., 2004; Li et al., 2006). Although most of these methods use DNA mixed with short hydroxyl-terminated alkanethiols, non-specific DNA-surface interactions through nucleotide amines, steric issues between DNA probes, and electrostatic forces can all affect the hybridization efficiency. Some of these problems can potentially be overcome using dsDNA. While there have been some investigations on the self-assembly of dsDNA (Sakao et al., 2003; Wackerbarth et al., 2004), our method demonstrates a successful approach in which dsDNA along with alkanethiols are directly immobilized onto the surface through disulfide adsorption.

In order to develop this and other electrochemical biosensors, the surface characteristics of B-DNA and M-DNA monolayers must be understood. Therefore, detailed examinations of the surface characteristics were done using XPS. As described in section 3.3 the DNA coverage, density, thickness and elemental composition of the monolayers were determined. As well, the degree of atmospheric contamination and its effect on the monolayer after 5 days immobilization was examined. In summary, stable SAMs are formed with DNA attached to the gold surface through a S-Au bond.
4.2.2 Design and Optimization of Redox Couple

The results discussed in section 3.4 reconfirms the electronic properties of M-DNA previously shown using $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}$ as a redox couple (Li et al., 2003; Long et al., 2003) and for the first time demonstrates the correlation of incremental pH changes on M-DNA formation using electrochemistry. More importantly, the $\text{IrCl}_6^{2-/3-}$ redox couple has significant advantages compared to the previously mentioned $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}$ system. The most significant advantage of this system is that one can avoid the production of zinc ferrocyanides which can precipitate out of the solution when adding zinc salt to the $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}$ solution (Miller and Falk, 1904). With the previous $[\text{Fe(CN)}_6]^{3-/4-}$ system, pH dependent experiments are very restricted by the narrow range of conditions under which M-DNA will form. If the pH is too high, the DNA may denature or the metal ion may form metal hydroxides and precipitate out of the solution. At lower pH values there is a greater prevalence of forming zinc ferrocyanides (Cheng, 1955; Riveros et al., 1996). Therefore, care must be taken as any precipitation at the monolayer-solution interface may drastically change the charge transfer leading to erroneous results. From time dependent measurements it is clear that 2 minutes is sufficient to form M-DNA which is comparable to the ethidium bromide assay which has shown that M-DNA is capable of forming in < 10 minutes with 0.25 mM $\text{Zn}^{2+}$ (Wood et al., 2002). In the current system, M-DNA is reproducibly converted back into B-DNA by the addition of EDTA which allows one to rule out denaturation or destruction of the monolayer and enables electrodes to be used multiple times. There are also other laboratory benefits of using this redox probe. Even though hexachloroiridate (IV) undergoes spontaneous reduction to hexachloroiridate (III) in neutral and basic solutions (Fine, 1969), the redox probe can be stored for several months under more acidic conditions. Therefore, all measurements are done with fresh solution made with aliquots taken from the stock. This aids in the reproducibility of the system compared to ferri/ferrocyanide which cannot be kept as a stock solution very well as aqueous solutions of potassium ferricyanide decompose slowly on standing. Another advantage of using hexachloroiridate (IV) instead of ferri/ferrocyanide is that deoxygenation of the sample solution by purging with nitrogen or argon gas is not
necessary with the hexachloroiridate (IV) since the potential scan only needs to go to approximately 400 mV which is too oxidative of an environment for any appreciable reduction of dissolved oxygen (Petrovic, 2000). On the other hand, the cathodic peak of ferricyanide merges with a second voltammetric wave, which corresponds to the reduction of dissolved oxygen. In summary, the IrCl₆²⁻/³⁻ redox couple is able to discriminate between B- and M-DNA as well between ss- and ds-DNA monolayers effectively. This redox probe eliminates the potential problems of metal ferrocyanides, is effective under a broad range of pH values, has longer storage times, less preparatory steps with rapid detection making it a more ideal redox probe for use with biosensors.

4.3 Localization of Metal Ions to Specific Regions in Oligonucleotides

As previously mentioned in section 1.2.3.5, there is considerable interest in the ability to incorporate metal ions to localized regions of DNA. However, most strategies represent a significant synthetic challenge or alter the structure of DNA so drastically in the process, that the molecular recognition properties of the DNA are destroyed. However, M-DNA offers an alternative approach that does not require difficult synthesis and retains the molecular recognition properties of the native DNA. Throughout this thesis, it has been demonstrated that the formation of M-DNA relies heavily on the sequence, the incorporation of novel nucleotides and the pH. This research has effectively shown that the pH at which M-DNA formation occurs can be manipulated by altering the sequence and base composition of the DNA. Therefore, this knowledge was used in order to localize metal ions to specific regions within the DNA by creating oligonucleotides which contained one sequence with a high propensity to adopt the M-DNA conformation and the remaining portion having a sequence that does not favour M-DNA formation. As discussed in section 3.2, only the d(TG)•d(CA) tract will form at low pH while the d(AT) tract will remain as B-DNA until a higher pH is reached. Unfortunately, oligomers containing only d(AT) tracts were unable to be examined for comparison as correct hybridization was unsuccessful due to strand slippage or the formation of hairpin loops.
The ability to control the conformation of the DNA with metal ions may have practical applications. For example, the number of Zn\(^{2+}\) ions present in a duplex may be inversely related to the conductivity of the M-DNA complex. Therefore, the conductivity of DNA wires can be controlled which may be useful for nanoelectronics. As well, the pH could be used as a molecular switch or alternatively, oligomers with various sequences could be used in biosensors to detect variations in the pH.

As mentioned in the introduction, DNA is one of the most promising biomolecules for nanofabrication. Currently, an almost limitless variety of geometric shapes have been produced using self-assembly (Chen and Seeman, 1991; Zhang and Seeman, 1994; Shih et al., 2004; Rothemund, 2006). Controlled nanoscale motion has been achieved (Mao et al., 1999; Yurke et al., 2000; Sherman and Seeman, 2004; Shin and Pierce, 2004; Ye and Mao, 2004) and the functionalization of DNA structures with metal ions has been accomplished (Braun et al., 1998; Aich et al., 1999; Meggers et al., 2000; Weizman and Tor, 2001; Zimmermann et al., 2002; Tanaka et al., 2003). In this thesis, the structure of M-DNA has been examined and its properties have been investigated. M-DNA offers a simple and inexpensive means of manipulated DNA in order to localize metal ions at specified regions within an oligonucleotide. M-DNA has shown to have practical applications and will add to the vast and continually growing nanoscale construction kit. As Lloyd Smith remarks, “the barrier we have to surmount next is to deploy our knowledge to develop structures and devices that are really useful. Happily, in that endeavor, we are now perhaps limited more by our imagination than by our ability” (Smith, 2006).

### 4.4 Future Directions

The experiments conducted in this thesis have confirmed the proposed structure for M-DNA. As well, it has been clearly shown that the incorporation of s^4T into poly[d(TG)•(CA)] caused the formation of M-DNA at a much lower pH. This discovery has the potential to facilitate the crystallization of M-DNA as the formation at a lower pH will help prevent the precipitation of the metal ions. Additionally, other modified nucleotides should be incorporated into the DNA and the effect of these
unusual bases on the stability and formation of M-DNA can be investigated with the ethidium fluorescence assay and thermal denaturation profiles. Good candidates are 6-thioguanine or 2-thiocytidine, which may also show spectral shifts and are also expected to favour the incorporation of thiophilic metal ions such as Zn\(^{2+}\). A combination of one or both of these with 4-thiothymine may allow M-DNA to form at extremely low pH values. As well, the different placements of the sulfur on the bases and how it effects M-DNA formation and stability may give us more information regarding the location of the metal ion.

It has previously been shown with XPS that the introduction of an electron-withdrawing group into the center of a porphyrin will decrease the N 1s binding energy as the metal ions electronegativity decreases (Karweik and Winograd, 1976). Therefore, experiments with Ni\(^{2+}\) and Co\(^{2+}\) should alter the peak energy compared to Zn\(^{2+}\) further validating the chemical structure of M-DNA. Also VAXPS experiments should be continued in order to confirm the localization of metal ions within the DNA monolayer. Possible strategies to improve results include using shorter oligomers which will maintain rigidity better and therefore might align more perpendicular to the gold providing more defined layers. Alternatively, longer oligomers may be advantageous by provided a greater separation between layers containing metal ions and those without. Another approach is to establish very dense monolayers with increased coverage and thickness by immobilizing in the presence of Mg\(^{2+}\) or Ca\(^{2+}\) (Petrovykh et al., 2003). This again may provide better definition between the layers.

A logical continuation of this work would be to study the effect of the metal localization on electron transfer properties of oligomers containing gaps or regions with and without metal ions. Although differences were observed in charge transfer between various oligomers designed to incorporate metal ions at different locations, slight differences in surface morphology and monolayer coverage effect the results significantly. In order to improve on this, it is suggested that the change seen in the charge transfer, must be in relation to the DNA coverage and density. Hopefully, this will give more meaningful and reproducible data.

Finally, there is one experiment worth further investigation as it was started, but was unable to be completed. Previously, fluorescence lifetime experiments were
performed on oligomers 54 base-pairs long which were constructed with a donor fluorophore at one end and an acceptor fluorophore at the opposite end of the DNA (Aich et al., 1999). The oligomer also contained a recognition site for the D-site binding protein (Roesler et al., 1992). Upon conversion to M-DNA, the fluorescence intensity rapidly dropped to 25% of the original value. However, in the presence of the D-site binding protein, the fluorescence intensity only dropped slowly indicated that the protein interrupted the signal by preventing the incorporation of metal ions in that specific region (Aich et al., 1999). It has also recently been demonstrated that the binding of proteins to dsDNA SAMs increased the impedance providing the framework for the development of a valuable assay for studying DNA-protein interactions (Li et al., 2004). Therefore, oligomers 30 base-pairs in length containing a disulfide linker were constructed containing the recognition site for the D-site-binding protein. DNA monolayers were created on gold in order to access the electron properties of M-DNA in the presence of bound protein as well as with the eventual goal of developing an assay for the detection of small molecules. However, the protein solution used contained small amounts of Dithiothreitol (DTT) which when added to the monolayer reduced the S-Au bond and stripped the monolayer after only minutes. Attempts to remove DTT resulted in precipitation of the protein. Therefore, continuation with this project would be recommended as the materials are already available in the Lee lab and the area is still of interest to the scientific community.
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